



PhD Student Name: ...Rebwar.....

Title: ...Social algorithms for complex engineering and science...

.....

#	Evaluation Criteria	10 Marks each
1	How is the Presentation?	8
2	Is the presentation reflecting the report submitted and has novel ideas and results?	
3	Does the title represent the contents?	
4	Is the objective and problem statement clear and novel ?	
5	Is the literature review relevant, critical, and up to date? Novelty of the PhD problem	
6	Are the methods properly described?	
7	Is the analysis of the results clear? If available? If there are no results yet, then has the PhD student obtained the needed skills to start implementations or simulations?	
8	Is the discussion of the results clear and meaningful?	
9	Any papers published or submitted? or publication plan (Gantt chart).	
10	Is the time frame in the Gantt chart (in the report) reasonable for a PhD study? and reflect the real progress?	
Total Mark (100)		
Recommendation: The student needs to discuss more with his supervisor for important issues in his research		

Evaluator Name and Signature:

Date: 15/11/2022

Dr.Abbas...M. Ali