

<i>Manuscript Ref No.</i>	There is no reference number on the manuscript.
<i>Manuscript Title</i>	The Effectiveness of the Quality Assurance Unit under the Corona pandemic, a comparative study between the University of Sulaymaniyah and the University of Garmian using SPSS
<i>Review due by</i>	18/9/2021
<i>Submitted date</i>	Submission date: 18/9/2021

Select the below check box

- | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1. <i>Accept in present form</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | Generally, evaluate the Manuscript (40 %) |
| 2. <i>Required Minor Revision</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 3. <i>Required Major Revision</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| 4. <i>Reject Article</i> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | |
| 5. <i>Assign reviewers and notify me comments</i> | <input type="checkbox"/> | |

Comments to Journal office

1. Is the subject matter suitable for publication in the passer journal of basic and applied sciences considering the new scope? Does the paper provide a clear and compelling introduction to and discussion of the relevance? If not, please elaborate.
 - *No; the paper is not suitable for publication as it does not provide a clear and compelling introduction to discussion. It is difficult to follow logic for the study in addition to poorly presented data and its analysis with lack of interpretations.*
2. Does the paper provide an original, novel and more significant than minor contribution to the literature? If not, please elaborate.

- *No; it does not provide a major contribution because of its weakness of presenting the subject.*
3. Does the paper contain material that could be omitted? If so, please elaborate.
- *The aim of the study and its investigation in the form of questionnaire is not clearly presented.*
4. Are the implications of the work clearly presented in the Discussion and Conclusions sections?
- *No*
5. Is the English of the paper suitable for publication?
- *No, the writing doesn't meet basic standards for English language; there are too many language mistakes in it; it's very difficult to follow along with even the basic outline of the paper.*
6. Does the paper's title convey the content of the paper?
- *To some extent.*
7. Is the paper's abstract concise and informative while conveying the content of the paper?
- *No*
8. Do the paper's Highlights reflect the paper's content and implications?
- *No*

9. Are all the references up-to date and relevant to the published work included?

- *To some extent, but the citations don't appear within the text.*

10. Are all the Illustrations and Tables informative, well presented, needed, and acknowledged if subject to copyright?

No

*For each question, please use the following scale to answer (place an x in the space provided):

"To what extent does the article meet this criterion?"

- 0 Fails by a large amount
- 1 Fails by a small amount
- 2 Succeeds by a small amount
- 3 Succeeds by a large amount
- 4 Not applicable

The subject addressed in this article is worthy of investigation. (1)

0 _ 1 _ 2 _ 3 _ 4

- The information presented was new. (2)

0 _ 1 _ 2 _ 3 _ 4

- The conclusions were supported by the data. (2)

0 _ 1 _ 2 _ 3 _ 4

- Is there a financial or other conflict of interest between your work and that of the authors? (No)

YES __ NO __

English language and style (Please tick the one of the following)

- (X) Extensive editing of English language and style required
() Moderate English changes required
() English language and style are fine/minor spell check required
() I don't feel qualified to judge about the English language and style

*The most important part of this report is to give a frank account of the strengths and weaknesses of the article as pointed comments below.

Khawazbeen Saida Fatah

--