

Omar Qarani <omerqarani@gmail.com>

## [ICASEA-2023] Review for paper #1570896063 completed

1 message

ICASEA-2023 <icasea-2023-chairs@edas.info>

Sun, Apr 9, 2023 at 10:38 AM

To: Omar Aziz <omergarani@gmail.com>

Cc: Hussein Al-Bugharbee <a href="hrazzag@uowasit.edu.iq">hrazzag@uowasit.edu.iq</a>, "Sattar B. Sadkhan" <a href="hrazzag@uowasit.edu.iq">drengsattar@ieee.org</a>

Dear Dr. Omar Aziz,

Thank you for completing the review of the paper #1570896063 ("Review the Causes of Erosion in Hydraulic Structures and the Methods for Various Tests") for ICASEA-2023. Below is a copy of your review.

You can modify the report by going to https://edas.info/R.php?r=12035559 up to the due date of Apr 9, 2023 00:00 America/New York.

Best regards, Conference Chairs

---

> \*\*\* Commandtes to Authors: Commands to Authors

The article is seems to be a scientific report or a seminar presentation about Erosion in Hydraulic structures.

> \*\*\* Commandes to TPC: If you want to , you can provide some additional comments to the TPC that will not be disclosed to the authors

Normally scientific articles including tests or in review cases at least required to collect data, analysis these data, predicting proposed equations, presenting the collected data by tables, figures, charts, discussion of results,....

- > \*\*\* Originality of the submission: New or Novel contribution Weak (1)
- > \*\*\* Novelty and Originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the work presented in the paper Weak (1)
- > \*\*\* Technical Content and Correcteness: Rate the technical contents of the paper Average (2)
- > \*\*\* Quality of Presentation: Rate the quality of presentation including Paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, completeness, and accuracy of references

  Average (2)
- > \*\*\* References used in the paper: Novelty and Closeness of References used in the paper Above Average (3)
- > \*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the relevance of the paper to the conference and the importance of the topic addressed in the paper and its timeliness within its area of research Average (2)
- > \*\*\* Language Status: Is the Language of the paper is good ? write your commands about the language Average (2)
- > \*\*\* Reviewer Expertise: Rate your expertise in the subject matter of the paper Average (1)
- > \*\*\* Strength Points: Write down the strong points of the paper

there is no strength points in the submitted paper.

- > \*\*\* Weakness Points: Write down the weak points of the paper
- 1. No tests
- 2. No data collection
- 3. No analysis by a scientific software
- 4. No charts, figures, ...
- > \*\*\* Overall Recommendation: Indicate your overall recommendation Reject (2)