Metaphor and figurative language

A Review article in

(Semantics)

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of (MSc)

Prepared by: Israa Farhad Faidh Allah

Supervised by: Prof. Dr. Anjuman Muhammed Sbar

(2023-2024)

Metaphor and figurative language

Israa Farhad Faidh Allah, Prof. Dr. Anjuman Muhammed Sbar

Department of English Language, College of Education, Salahaddin University – Erbil,

Israa.Faidhallah@su.edu.krd

Department of English Language, College of Education, Salahaddin University – Erbil,

Prof. Dr. Anjuman Muhammed Sbar

Abstract

In English, figurative language devices that known as "figures of speech" are essential in communications be it written form or oral one because figures of speech allow communicators to promote/convey ideas more convincingly, build engagement and foster psychological links with the audience. Linguistic studies reveal that metaphors as one of the main constituents of figurative language, they introduce a linguistic identity and point-of-view. From qualitative research on metaphors, it was found this figure of speech does not only present one figurative meaning but also connects with other types of figures of speech, such as metonymy and personification. This is how massive metaphor can be. Metadata — Metaphors can be both words that are taken literally and figuratively. They give a new meaning to our language Let me firstly create an analogy by using the word 'metaphor 'in different contexts, The use of literary devices, particularly figurative language such as metaphors and similes, strengthens the writing by creating emotional resonance or imagery to a scene: in other words making the unimaginable imaginable. The ubiquity of figurative language in daily communication emphasizes its significance, demonstrating that it is not only a possession for poets or writers but an essential aspect of spoken speech that people often apply without even realizing. End of the icon Consequently, the examination of this layer of language demonstrates its key function in enhancing communication and making it sound more persuasive in different manifestations.

Key Words: figurative language, metaphors, simile, conceptual metaphor

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

According to literary experts at the University of Arkansas, who dealt with these studies, among the artistic language images metaphor "> in English-language literature there are especially three most used ones: Metaphor, Simile and Personification (UARK). These devices, in addition to others like imagery, hyperbole, juxtaposition, and paradox among other language-oriented techniques are used not only greatly in English literature but also played a large part of the daily lives of an average English-speaking person. Figurative language, on the other hand, is a type of language that varies from the prosaic in that it gets a reader to imagine or feel what authors intend. For example, the British can say that a feeling of nervousness is as if "butterflies in the stomach" or describe how to hard-do something with it — "I have no desire to do this – I have to move mountains." Studies show that when talking about academic research or discussing casual ideas between friends more robust understanding of scientific results, and complex theories can be achieved through the use of metaphors rather than merely stating them in rudimentary language. Linguistics is concerned with the study of language which consists of subfields phonetics, morphology, syntax and semantics; all these sub-fields investigate different areas of language starting at sound production to word structure – sentence formation until reaching meaning. Semantics is also very interested in figurative language, including metaphors, which use the actual words to reflect associations between different things that are seemingly unrelated. Knowles and Moon contended that these metaphors can either be universal (found in most languages, cultures) or culturally specific (words exclusive to one language-culture). Comprehending and understanding how metaphors as an instance, draws on one's previous knowledge or expertise in life, subsequently it shows a type of cognitive process involved.

People use five to six metaphors per minute in their conversation (Zaltman and Zaltman 2008)10, as compared with ten to twenty-five metaphors per minute that Zakon48 has found. This demonstrates how ingrained the use of metaphor is encoded into our everyday lives through ubiquitous linguistic expression. Aristotle's rhetorical view defines a metaphor as "a word used in a changed sense" and is liable for bringing out similarities between things which aren't apparently connected. Haula and Nur write that these primary and secondary terms of the metaphor's structure „can be charged with the power of bringing into consciousness so many similarities ", which shifts to their level of importance when calculating metaphors expressive

value.The next step was increasingly taken in the 1980s, that is exploring major metaphor research since the work of philosophers by linguists. Their collaboration via the University of California, Berkeley's Mark Johnson and George Lakoff resulted in Metaphors We Live By which has since comprised a significant part of all discussions on that subject. (Metaphor) The Generative Semantics school founded by Lakoff and his colleagues was a rebellion against Noam Chomsky's Generative Grammar and it eventually led to the rise of Cognitive Linguistics (and later, Cognitive Psychology). and academia have a new study range dedicated to metaphor's powerful way of constructing our reality; we now know that "metaphor is not just relegated to high-flown language or the province of poets".

2. Theoretical background

In the words of Kovecses (2008) a metaphor: "Functions to understand one conceptual domain in terms of another". Metaphor as the most significant form of figurative language is already a well-known discovery, and its highest-ranking manifestations or realization may be found in literary or poetic speech. The author explains that accordingly conceptual metaphor is associated with this type of communication. That is to say Metaphor, supposedly the most crucial kind of language and its furthest extreme when it comes to literary writing. Metaphor is one of the more obvious examples, in as much as it shows how language can be employed to produce an outcome.

"Metaphor is an assertion to the effect that one thing is something else, when in a literal sense it is not" (Baym, 1961). "Metaphor is a figure of speech in which a word or phrase literally denoting one kind of object or idea is used in place of another to suggest likeness or analogy between them: the person being addressed [is] not an impious actor, but... god will revenge Thy hideous sins" (Murray). According to Skrderud, metaphor is always relating and, as such, it captures the understanding since it lives off of something else. * Such that metaphor and simile usages more generally H McGlone Metaphor in cognitive linguistics, 2007 Metaphor, in short is a figure of speech where one thing we describe as something equivalent to or worthy of being compared to something else, even if it's not literally the same. Metaphors, as I barretxe - Antuano (2013) states, "…transgress the boundaries of literal language and are thus a special kind of language".

Using figurative language, metaphors included, can be called a departure from the normal use of words. It results in. a special effectzon, clariity of ideas and the producing the writing more colorful and impactful The use of figurative language in his writing made it that much more engaging. all the ordinary purposes that we use fig-lang on an everyday basis: so you can express your ideas clearly, well and strongly Writing with figurative language assumes that readers must translate between the conceptual gaps, and uses details, associations, mental images to help fill in those gaps. It's the kind of imaginative exercise that I find to be immensely rewarding — and satisfying, in a way: it delightfully fills in certain blanks rather than making you puzzle out every single word. Figurative language helps explain ideas that are unclear and confusing, as well as those that are unfamiliar to the reader.

And finally, Figurative language implies a use of words which deviates from the literal meaning. It creates an effect, drives home a point or makes it so much more engaging! It is language like this that thickens prose and turns mere writing into flavor (map State To Props). ** Figurative language: While this expresses the same idea as in 1d (about reader involvement), statements about writing are literal — i.e., no need to involve a process of association between reason and result. What actually is possible in an imaginative way, which I find fulfilling and delightful to be able to get what isn't said outright. Although each of these figures of speech is distinct and performs unique functions, it's not necessary to memorize every single thing. That being said, they are definitely words you still will want to learn about and be familiar with as you read.

2.1 The use of figurative language devices in English fiction

In English, similes use comparisons to highlight similarities between two objects or situations, typically using words like "like" or "as." The term "simile" is derived from the Latin word "similes," meaning "similar." Similes began appearing in English literature in the 15th century, with Shakespeare incorporating this figurative language into his works.

English literature provides numerous examples of similes. For instance, in one work, someone's secret intrigues another character "like a door without a lock or key," which reflects the character's curiosity about the mystery, showcasing simile use effectively. Another example from Rafael Sabatini's "The Sea Eagle" compares Spanish soldiers to wheat being cut down by a reaper's sickle, illustrating the formidable prowess of the hero.

To clearly differentiate similes from metaphors in English, look for specific comparison words or adverbs. Similes often include phrases like "similar to," "like," or "as." Conversely, metaphors convey ideas through direct statements, without using comparative words. For example, Albert Einstein's metaphor, "All religions, arts, and sciences are branches of the same tree," describes the unity of human endeavors without comparing elements directly.

The scientist in the example uses a metaphor to summarize all scientific truths, stating that all religions—including Islam, Christianity, and Judaism—as well as the arts, natural and social sciences, and both secular and religious knowledge share a common foundation. Metaphors help in understanding complex thoughts easily. Personification, which is assigning human-like characteristics to nonhuman or abstract entities, can enhance the quality of a story or work by providing physical attributes (like "the eye of a needle"), emotional qualities (like "one shoe"), or actions (like "a leaf dancing in the wind"). Effective use of such artistic language can enrich a story, but overuse may hinder students' full understanding of the text. This suggests that the writer's scientific capability may be limited. Writers often observe human behavior to create relatable content. Figurative language can simplify a complex idea but can also complicate simple concepts. Therefore, when a poet aims to add emotional depth using figurative language, clarity must be maintained to avoid confusing the reader.

2.2 Types of figurative Language

Aside from metaphors, there are various figures of speech like irony, sarcasm, hyperbole, and similes. Some researchers overlook these, thinking only metaphors have cognitive benefits. However, others argue that our thinking often relies on many different tropes. (Gibbs, 1993).

2.3. Metaphor

Metaphor is a fundamental element of language, found in everyday speech, formal writing, and both fiction and poetry. It arises from comparing different things, bridging ideas without needing specialized language, as noted by Gibbs (1994). Aristotle, an early scholar of metaphors, defined them as transferring a name from one context to another, such as from a broader category to a more specific one or between different categories.

In modern linguistics, metaphors are viewed as more than just rhetorical tools; they are crucial cognitive processes. They help people understand one thing in terms of another, influencing how individuals think, reason, and imagine daily (Lakoff & Johnson, 1999). Verbal metaphors go beyond decoration; they articulate complex or abstract ideas, reflecting deep-seated conceptual connections within human thought.

According to Lakoff and Johnson, verbal metaphors are rooted in essential cognitive functions, showing how people metaphorically view abstract areas like time, causation, and emotions using more concrete experiences. These conceptual links make communication and comprehension easier by anchoring difficult ideas in familiar contexts.

Thus, metaphors are not just language tools; they offer insights into human thinking and perception. Through metaphorical language, people manage the complexities of language and thought, connecting the abstract with the concrete and the unknown with the known. Metaphors illustrate the dynamic relationship between language, cognition, and experience, shaping our understanding of the world and enhancing communication.

Metaphors are used for three main reasons. First, the inexpressibility hypothesis suggests that metaphors help explain ideas that are difficult to express with literal language, especially abstract concepts. Second, the compactness hypothesis proposes that metaphors allow people to convey detailed ideas more concisely. Third, the vividness hypothesis argues that metaphors make expressions clearer and more engaging. In social interactions, metaphors also create an intimate atmosphere between speakers, building a connection based on shared experiences and interests. This can limit access to the conversation for outsiders. Additionally, speakers might use special language, such as slang, to communicate their attitudes or interests. Slang can indicate sympathy, membership, or hostility towards a particular social group. It can also help speakers distance themselves from their emotions, like when they don't want to show frustration towards parents, teachers, or work (Gibbs, 1994).

2.3.1 Simile

Similes, a common figure of speech, saturate our language. However, their frequent use can quickly wear them out. Creative writers aim to craft similes that are both original and appropriate for the context. Unlike metaphors, which imply a comparison, similes explicitly compare two unlike things using "like" or "as." Some scholars argue that both metaphors and similes rely on an implied similarity between the two elements being compared.

The purpose of a simile is more than just decoration; it helps to explain unfamiliar ideas by comparing them to more familiar ones. Similes are used in various types of writing, but they are particularly powerful in poetry and prose, where they add vivid imagery and evoke strong emotions. However, writers should avoid clichéd similes, as their overuse can weaken the intended message.

Similes are a useful tool for adding emotion and imagery to writing. By comparing seemingly unrelated objects, authors can highlight aspects of both subjects that might be hard to describe with literal language. According to Toris White and Hughes (1994), using similes allows writers to go beyond literal expression, creating vivid pictures and evoking deep emotions in their readers.

2.3.2 Metaphor and simile in English

Since the era of Aristotle, metaphor and comparison have been regarded by many linguists as interchangeable figurative language tools, with any metaphor capable of being rephrased as a comparison and vice versa. This understanding of metaphor underscores psycholinguistic theories regarding the comprehension of metaphor. However, it's essential to note that not all metaphors lend themselves to direct comparison. Various forms of metaphor, such as comparative and categorical, entail distinct referents. In a comparative form, metaphor denotes a general concept, as exemplified in "My lawyer is like a shark," where "shark" typically signifies a type of fish. Conversely, in a categorical form like "My lawyer is a shark," "shark" refers to the abstract metaphorical category of predatory creatures.

The significance of word stress in distinguishing metaphor and comparison should also be emphasized, as it indicates the contextual usage of words. This divergence in reference allows for differentiation between metaphor and its corresponding comparison based on interpretation and meaning. Consequently, theories solely reliant on metaphorical comparison may be fundamentally flawed.

Modern psycholinguistic perspectives on metaphor creation and understanding bifurcate into two broad categories: comparison and categorization. Philosophical proponents of the comparative view perceive the difference between metaphor and simile as minimal, akin to an "undifferentiated difference," according to Aristotle and Barnes (1984). Voegelin (1988) further delineates two approaches, highlighting the inherent similarities between metaphor and simile:

A. The literal meaning of a metaphor aligns with the direct meaning of a comparison by analogy.
B. The figurative meaning of a metaphor corresponds to the figurative meaning of the opposite comparison. For instance, "my job is a prison" functions similarly to "my job is like a prison."

It's worth noting that in translating metaphors from English fiction into Uzbek, comparisons can serve as figurative language tools while retaining their intended meanings. In such cases, comparisons and adverbs like "[–dek]" (similar to "like" in English) can be employed in the translation process.

3. Discussion and Comparison

Howard Gardner emphasized the importance of figurative language in a teacher's efforts to convey a novel concept to a student. Work about the cognitive significance of metaphor has shown repeatedly that metaphorical teaching strategies often lead to better learning than do explicit strategies (using what has been called denotative, analytic or technical language), since they enable the transfer of learning and understanding from what is well-known to the less well-known in a more memorable way (Zbikowski, 2002; Cacciari, 1998; Guck, 1994;). However, some researchers have gone even further, saying that teaching without figurative language is not possible. If teachers are to base their instruction on the conventional wisdom that they should begin with things that students already know, how are they to ever introduce that which is completely new? Petrie and Osh lag (1993) claimed that radically new knowledge requires the use of metaphor in the pedagogical process of leaping the chasm between old and new knowledge and consequently acquiring new knowledge.

Due to the work of linguists, psychologists, anthropologists and others, metaphor and other types of figurative language have come to be regarded as a fundamental mode of cognition affecting all human thought and action (Turner, 1987). Mac Cormac (1985) noted that in the mid-1970s the legitimacy of metaphors in the study of cognition was still being debated, while at the time of his writing 'z, serving as language-generating devices. He contended that

metaphor is a mediating device among the mind, the brain, and the external world.

Human language comprehension is indeed a marvel of cognitive processing, involving a symphony of linguistic elements like phonology, semantics, syntax, and pragmatics. These components intertwine within our minds, creating a rich tapestry of meaning every time we engage with language. However, what truly adds color and depth to this tapestry is figurative language.

Figurative language is the paint that colors in the canvas of words to create a picture. Much as literal language merely informs, figurative language engages with the mind and spirit while enticing readers to discover worlds above and beyond those we can see. The use of these devices within this realm meets and replicates, with each literary element contributes its own special variation into the storyline. On top of all these structural tools available to writers are the even larger number of things those authors can do with language: metaphors, similes, analogies, hyperbole, symbolism, personification, allusion and rhyme … just to name a few. An effective blending of addiction can make it live and breathe. (Singleton), breaking into my animal instinct, so I can interpret this human knowledge properly now. So, cheers for that! — which is exactly how you build your notion on top of the previous one and enrich it from layers of meaning and emotion in order to give birth to something new (to you). Figurative language, whether it's the light tap of a metaphor or the thunderous boom of hyperbole, captivates and pulls readers further into the story's arms.

But for all the beauty that can be found in it, I have to say, there lays a strange duality within figurative language: for as much as it obfuscates and confuses—it also reveals. So while expressions may not be factual, something about them somehow reveals a deeper truth that incarnates in the human experience with sheer precision. The art of language is in the everyday expressions — those that come so naturally, yet boast metaphorical elements which indicate one thing whilst meaning another; these such phrases elevate our words from basic communication to a far richer form.

This is how you teach. If a student can comprehend figurative language, they will have an entryway into comprehension beyond the surface of writing. The clues to the author's intent and discovering hidden meaning are often within these allegorical forms, allowing readers to process that which is represented through more of a buffer. But swearwords, like all good figurative language infuses serious discourse with an element of the profane that makes it more likely to reach a possible audience.

As we continue to unravel the mysteries that lie at fingertips, figures of speech are symbolic

instruments by which we may better pilot the ways in which each word speaks oratory truth. And sometimes, they show us an easier way to comprehend tough concepts — breaking down the abstract into something tangible through imagery. Sometimes, though it transcends anything which can be represented with words set to a page; emotions and thoughts which the standard form of expression would fail to fully encompass.

In other words, figurative language is not simply a part of how we talk each day; it's also an essential dimension that binds together larger parts of our intellectual universe. It causes us to see the world in certain ways, casting our ideas into hues of symbolic radiance. And so, to dismiss figurative language is to ignore much of humanity's most essential element — a principal that indeed articulates the very root of our words.

Literary scholars at the University of Arkansas conducted research that revealed the nature and extent of application for three communicated language devices in English, namely metaphor, simile, and personification. Although figurative language includes a broad range of other tools like symbolism, hyperbole and paradox, these three central pillars play crucial roles not only in the world of fiction but also contemporary English. Indeed, metaphors are powerful bridges to creativity and communication and can help us describe complex ideas or feelings.. Phrases such as "butterflies in the stomach" or "like a moving mountain", for example, clearly demonstrate how English speakers use metaphors to explain

But the problem raises – connecting those expressive devices in English with each other, and other languages is incredibly difficult. This point can be seen when we think of difficulties to translate metaphors used even as a simple language into for example Uzbek Language: The reason behind this obstacle mainly lies in the great differences that exist among languages and cultures, which are formed by their unique ways of language use and social structure. This is crucial when it comes to translation issues, understanding and addressing these intercultural differences as a result, a detailed study of English literature with understanding and analysis of all its subtleties – alongside discoveries made while studying Uzbekistanti works can conduce to further progress in this field.

4.Conclusions

Metaphor and figurative language are the threads that connect this tapestry of infinity we call language. Indeed, no matter the culture nor epoch in history, they are the very 'instruments' that will be enabled human's imagination. You see, by studying metaphors and figurative language we were able to understand how beautiful the language really is, and learn some profound truths. Metaphors — by transporting us beyond mere description or through a simplified explanation of italicized text that lies beneath the hermeneutical maze we live and speak in on Data Change source. Words help us comprehend the abstract, express that which appears inexpressible, and bridge the manifest with mystery. Metaphors help make abstract things we can't touch reachable, voice emotions that often have no words, and let our thoughts bloom into more colorful lands.

Figurative language extends well past metaphors, allowing words to flesh out their meaning into something that draws on image, mood or clarity. is a place where similes happily frolic, m It is clear that figurative language in all of its types make the human communication more colorful and meaningful than just as simple words.

When it all comes down to human expression, metaphor and figurative language is just another sparkle of light that represents how unlimited our creativeness can be But they are also the tools we use to paint our thoughts, express our emotions and create truths in which we view the world. As we get further and further into language, I'd like to take a moment to recognize the redemptive potential of metaphor and figurative language — The quiet builders of our collective reality.

References

➢ Anderson, M. (2003) 'Embodied cognition: A field guide', Artificial Intelligence, 149, pp. 91-130.

➢ Cacciari, R.W. (1998) 'Why do we speak metaphorically?—Reflections on the functions of metaphor in discourse and reasoning', in Katz, A.N., Cacciari, C., Gibbs, R.W. Jr., and Turner, M. (eds.) Figurative language and thought. New York: Oxford University Press, pp. 119-157.

➢ Gibbs, R.W. Jr. (1993) 'Process and products in making sense of tropes', in Ortony, A. (ed.) Metaphor and thought. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 252-276.

➢ Gibbs, R.W. (1994) The poetics of mind. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

➢ Guck, M.A. (1994) 'Two types of metaphoric transfer', in Kassler, J.C. (ed.) Metaphor: A Musical Dimension. Sydney: Currency Press, pp. 1-12.

➢ Johnson, M. (1987) The Body in the Mind: The Bodily Basis of Meaning, Imagination and Reason. Chicago: University of Chicago Press

➢ Lakoff, G. and Johnson, M. (1980) Metaphors We Live By. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

➢ Lakoff, G. and Johnson, M. (1999) Philosophy in the Flesh. New York: Basic Books.

➢ Lakoff, G. (1993) 'The contemporary theory of metaphor', in Ortony, A. (ed.) Metaphor and Thought (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 202-251.

➢ Mac Cormac, E.R. (1985) A cognitive theory of metaphor. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

➢ Petrie, H.G. and Oshlag, R.S. (1993) 'Metaphor and learning', in Ortony, A. (ed.) Metaphor and Thought (2nd ed.). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

➢ Turner, M. (1987) Death is the mother of beauty: Mind, metaphor, criticism. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press.