

Scientific Evaluation Form of Review
PhD studies
Science College



زانكۆی سه‌لاحه‌دین - هه‌ولێر
Salahaddin University-Erbil

Department: Biology

Student name. Sakar Abdulqadr Saheed

Title of the Article: Antifungal activity of some medicinal plants

Article Subject:

No.	Elements of Evaluation	Mark	Evaluation mark
1	The title topic reflects the content of the paper, and it is short and interesting. If the abstract is short and informative enough to stand on its own, and are key words adequate as regards the content of the paper?	16	14
2	The introduction of the paper describes the problem within a theoretical framework with clearly defined research objectives. An appropriate research design has been used.	20	18
3	The extent of contemporary study in the article shows a complete, clear, and well-organized presentation. The content is precise, technical, and academic. (Seminar presentation)	32	29
4	A conclusion describes implications for theory, research, and/or practice. Logical conclusions from the data have been drawn.	16	13
5	Quality method and language integrity, the reference list follows one style as in Zanco Journal. There is good correspondence between the cited and referenced works. Do not exceed 5000 words.	16	14
Total score		100	88
Plagiarism Deduction (Filled by Scientific affairs unit)			
Final Score			

The final total of 15 = 13.2

Lecturer Name: Dr. Badr Qader Surchi

Academic title: Assistant Professor

Email: badr.surchi@su.edu.krd

Mobile no.: 07504564589

Signature

Date 15 /1/ 2024

Note: Plagiarism: similarity must not exceed 25 % *

***Grade deduction (26-30) % [-5%], (31-35) % [-10%], (36-40) % [-15%], over (40) % not accepted**

Stamp and Signature
Head of Examination Committee

**Scientific Evaluation Form
Review Article for Master studies
Science College**



زانكوۆى سه‌لاحه‌دين - شه‌ولير
Salahaddin University-Erbil

Department:

Student name.:

Title of the Article:			
Article Subject:			
No.	Elements of Evaluation	Mark	Evaluation mark
1	Is the chosen title topic relevant to the review and interesting? Does it concisely describe the topic and provide the necessary information? Does it include all aspects, especially the title and content of the article?	16	
2	The introduction to the material was evaluated within a theoretical framework, and the objectives of the study were clearly defined. The appropriate study design was used.	20	
3	A contemporary study, presented fully, clearly, and organized. The content is detailed and focuses on technical and academic topics (seminar presentation).	32	
4	The results are effective and show important data and information that are presented logically.	16	
5	quality method and language integrity, the reference list follows one style with Zanco Journal . There is good correspondence between the cited and referenced works. Do not exceed 5000 words.	16	
Total score		100	
Plagiarism deduction (scientific affairs unit)			
Final Score			

The final total of 10 =

Lecturer Name:

Academic title:

Email:

Mobile no.:

Signature

Date / / 202

Note: Plagiarism: similarity must not exceed 30 % *

***Grade deduction (31-35) % [-5%], (36-40) % [-10%], (41-45) % [-15%], over 45% not accepted**

Stamp and Signature
Head of Examination Committee