



Article Evaluation Form

Reviewer name

Dr .Ivan subhi Latif

Date article received	Date Review Returned
27-1-2018	

Article ID

SICME2019-102

Title of Article

Forecasting the gas prices in Investing.com's weekly economic data table using linear and non-linear ARMA-GARCH models.



Please indicate your assessment of each criterion by selecting an option:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
1. This study arises from new theoretical results or new empirical findings; it arises from new interpretation or synthesis of known material.				
2. The title reflects the content of the paper and it is short and interesting.				
3. The abstract is short and informative enough to stand on its own				
4. The introduction of the paper describes the problem within a theoretical framework. There is no plagiarism in this section.				
5. Appropriate research design/method has been used.				
6. Appropriate, correct and rigorous analysis of the research question and/or subject matter is provided. If the study is quantitative, right robust statistics have been used.				
7. Accurate and useful interpretation has been made. Results have been reported. The study has been evaluated and compared to similar studies.				
8. Conclusion describes implications for theory, research, and/or practice. Logical conclusions from the data have been drawn.				
9. Table/figure captions are correct. Real-time tense has been used.				
10. The reference list follows ZJPAS Style. There is a good correspondence between the cited and referenced works. There is no additional or incomplete reference.				
11. The article shows a complete, clear and well organized presentation. The content is precise. The language of the paper is formal, technical and academic.				

1. Please indicate your assessment of each criterion by selecting an option:

	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
1. This study arises from new theoretical results or new empirical findings; it arises from new interpretation or synthesis of known material.				
2. The title reflects the content of the paper and it is short and interesting.				
3. The abstract is short and informative enough to stand on its own				
4. The introduction of the paper describes the problem within a theoretical				