

[Welcome](#) > [Reviewer](#) > [Evaluation form](#)



Thank you for submitting your review.
We will contact you by email if we need you to do anything else.

Article details

Title: Financial revenues from land in Vietnam: Factors affecting their collection

Journal: Global Business and Economics Review

Editor: Weixiao Wu

Original Submission: [2022_GBER-107703.pdf](#) [09 Mar 2022]

Supplementary files: [2022_GBER-107703_sup39080.docx](#) [27 Jul 2022]

Author's Revised Article: [2022_GBER-107703_ARV.pdf](#) [27 Jul 2022]

Article Evaluation Form: Round 1

Submitted: 31 May 2022

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES

Completeness of the work

Reviewer Replies

Good

Subject relevance

Good

Professional/industrial relevance

Good

Originality of the work

Good

Acknowledgement of the work of others by references

Good

Organisation of the manuscript

Honours

Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations

Good

Likelihood of passing the test of time

Good

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable)

Yes

Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?

No

Is the manuscript free of commercialism?

Yes

Is the article too long?

No

RECOMMENDATION

Acceptable with minor revisions

COMMENTS

Changes which must be made before publication:-

- 1- The title of the paper should be changed: see comments
- 2- Abstract needs to be rewritten: see comments
- 3- Previous studies need a suggested arrangement: see comments
- 4- Table 8 is not clear and needs more clarification: see comments
- 5- The hypotheses need further clarification: see comments

6- The conclusions also need to be rewritten, especially the upper section: see comments

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:-

I would look for if the manuscript findings add any new information or fill the gaps identified in previously published work.

Confidential comments only for the editor:-

1. Does this manuscript offer original data and innovative insights?
2. Does the subject matter manuscript have wide scientific interest and potential applicability?
3. Have the authors remembered to cite previous seminal papers on the subject?
4. Is the Supplementary Material (Supporting Information) helpful, appropriate and error-free?

Article Evaluation Form: Round 2

Submitted: 19 Sep 2022

MANUSCRIPT FEATURES	Reviewer Replies
Likelihood of passing the test of time	Good
Clarity in writing tables graphs and illustrations	Good
Organisation of the manuscript	Good
Acknowledgement of the work of others by references	Good
Completeness of the work	Good
Subject relevance	Good
Professional/industrial relevance	Good
Originality of the work	Good

QUALITY AND RIGOUR

Is the article too long?	No
Is the manuscript free of commercialism?	Yes
Are you aware of prior publication or presentation of this work?	Yes
Have you checked the equations and/or statistics? (if applicable)	Yes

RECOMMENDATION

Acceptable

COMMENTS

Changes which must be made before publication:-

no comments

Suggestions which would improve the quality of the article but are not essential for publication:-

None

Confidential comments only for the editor:-

no comments

[Send comment to Editor](#)

[Show comment](#)