### Review Form

### 

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| *Paper ID:* | ES2002 |
| *Paper Title:* | BIM BASED ANALYSIS OF TIME AND COST FOR MULTI STOREY COMMERCIAL BUILDING |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Evaluation** | | | | | |
|  | Poor | Fair | Good | Very Good | Outstanding |
| **Integrity** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Innovation** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Readability** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Applicability** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Presentation and English** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Match to Conference Topic** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Recommendation to Editors** | | | | | |
|  | Strongly Reject | Reject | Marginally Accept | Accept | Strongly Accept |
| **Recommendation** |  |  |  |  |  |

1. **Review**
2. ***Suitability of Topic***
   1. Is the topic appropriate for publication?

Yes  No

1. ***Contents***
   1. Is the coverage of the topic sufficiently comprehensive and balanced?

Yes

Important Information is missing or superficially treated.

Treatment is somewhat unbalanced, but not seriously so.

Certain parts are significantly overstressed.

* 1. How would you describe the technical depth of the paper?

Superficial

Suitable for the non-specialist

Appropriate for the generally knowledgeable individual working in the field

Suitable only for an expert

* 1. How would you rate the technical novelty of the paper?

Novel  Somewhat Novel  Not Novel

1. ***Presentation***
   1. How would you rate the overall organization of the paper?

Satisfactory  Fair  Poor

* 1. Are the title and abstract satisfactory?

Yes  No

|  |
| --- |
|  |

* 1. Is the length of the paper appropriate? If not, recommend how the length of the paper should be amended, including a possible target length for the final manuscript.

Yes  No

|  |
| --- |
| Additional 2 pages can be added to:   1. Specify the parameters used to determine actual and estimated cost and durations 2. Specify the way of calculating actual and estimated cost and durations 3. Correct errors in calculations 4. Show the way how the actual cost and duration was determined |

* 1. Are symbols, terms, and concepts adequately defined?

Yes  Not Always  No

* 1. How do you rate the English usage?

Satisfactory  Needs Improvement  Poor

* 1. How do you rate the list of references?

Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. ***Overall Rating (circle appropriate rating)***
   1. How would you rate the technical contents of the paper?

Excellent  Good  Fair  Poor

* 1. How would you rate the novelty of the paper?

Highly Novel  Sufficiently Novel  Slightly Novel  Not Novel

* 1. How would you rate the "literary" presentation of the paper?

Totally Accessible  Mostly Accessible  Partially Accessible  Inaccessible

* 1. How would you rate the appropriateness of this paper for publication?

Excellent Match  Good Match  Weak Match  Poor Match

1. **Recommendation**

Publish Unaltered

Publish With Minor Required Changes

Publish After Major Required Changes

Review Again After Major Changes

Reject (Paper is not of Sufficient Quality or Novelty for Publication in this Journal)

Reject (A Major Revision is Required; Encourage Resubmission)

Reject (Paper is Seriously Flawed; Do not Encourage Resubmission)

1. **Comments**

Please briefly explain why you have made the recommendation above. Please also offer the author(s) specific guidance regarding revisions, differentiating between optional and mandatory changes. (It needs more than 100 words)

|  |
| --- |
| 1. Delete repeated paragraphs as shown on the script 2. Methodology needs reconsideration as shown on the script 3. Reliable scientific reference needs to be used to support stand point statement (judgment) 4. Stand point statement (judgment) should be supported by survey of expert opinions 5. Minor spelling and language errors needs to be corrected 6. Introduction of the case study part needs reconsideration 7. 2.4 4D Modeling Revit to Neviswork paragraph language needs to be changed from instruction language to research language 8. Use high resolution figures, the figures are not clear and writings are not readable 9. TABLE 5.1 ESTIMATED AND ACTUAL DURATION AND COST OF EACH ACTIVITY, calculations are not correct. 10. The researcher needs to specify the parameters used to determine actual and estimated cost and durations |