STAT.Mahammad.Mahmoud@ MSc.2024.Non Parametric Statistics

by Mahammad Mahmoud

Submission date: 27-Dec-2023 10:14PM (UTC+0200)

Submission ID: 2265160678

File name: MSc.2024.Non_Parametric_Statistics_-_mohammad_mahmoud_bazeed.pdf (442.68K)

Word count: 3958

Character count: 22503

Salahaddin University-Erbil

College Of Administration and Economics

High Education: Master



Department Of Statistics and Information

Subject: Non-Parametric

Semester: First

A Review Article about

Cochran's Q test

By

Mahammad Mahmoud Bazid

mohammadsherwany@gmail.com

Supervised by

Asst. Prof. Dr. Nazeera Sedeek Kareem

Academic year 2023-2024

Cochran's Q Test

Abstract

Motivation: Nonparametric statistics is a field of statistics that deals with data that may not fulfill the assumptions of parametric statistics. Nonparametric statistics, on the other hand, do not make significant assumptions about the underlying population distribution and sometimes depend on fewer or no distributional assumptions. Nonparametric tests are distribution-free, meaning they don't presume a particular probability distribution for the population. They are typically employed when the data are ordinal, categorical, or not normally distributed. Nonparametric tests make fewer assumptions about the data, making them more resilient in the case of outliers or skewed distributions. They are appropriate in instances While the hypotheses of parametric evaluation are broken or when working with data that cannot be assessed on an interval or ratio scale.

Description: The paper presents an enhanced version of Q test that utilize statistical methods to tackle instability in real-world scenarios. The Cochran's Q test examines the constancy of percentages of a certain outcome over numerous groups, taking into account both deterministic and undetermined factors.

Results: The test is implemented by using data from operations to analyze the machines' capabilities on different days of the workweek. The comparative analysis demonstrates the advantages of the proposed test in contrast to Cochran's Q test, providing valuable insights into the level of uncertainty and enhancing decision-making in ambiguous situations.

Conclusion: This study presents an alternative version of the Cochran test, using statistical methods to address ambiguity in practical situations. Cochran's Q test effectively assesses the reliability of result percentages across diverse groups, accounting for deterministic and undetermined factors. When this method is used to assess the capacities of machines, by analyzing production data collected on different days of the week, it reveals its superiority compared to Cochran's Q test. This benefit is visible in the insights it gives on the degree

of indeterminacy, thereby boosting decision-making in circumstances distinguished by uncertainty. The simulation study further underscores the critical impact of uncertainty in affecting test statistics and decision outcomes, demonstrating the usefulness of the recommended approach in representing practical problems volatility. Cochran's Q test presents a sophisticated and realistic strategy for addressing the uncertainties inherent in various datasets, proving it useful in common decision-making scenarios.

Keywords: Nonparametric statistics, Cochran's Q test statistics,

Introduction

Cochran's Q test is a Nonparametric statistical test used to analyze dependent categorical data or repeated measurements. It is named after the British statistician William G. Cochran. Renowned statistician William G. Cochran made important advances in the science of statistics. He introduced the Cochran Q test as a component of his seminal work. Thus, 1950 is credited with the discovery and introduction of Q test, this test was first introduced and has since grown to be a vital statistical tool, especially when examining correlated categorical data or repeated measurements. Numerous disciplines, including psychology, education, social sciences, and medicine, make extensive use of the test. It is especially effective for evaluating data in which each observation is matched with another observation across multiple groups or situations Q test, a statistical tool, analyses the coherence of percentages across different categories in a binomial sample. It analyzes categorical data organized in a contingency table (n × K), determining if the odds of a result are the same for each group. The test's The null scenario presupposes that all groups are equal, whereas another possibility shows no fewer than a single set varies. Widely used in market research, social sciences, and medicine, the Cochran test identifies significant percentage differences between categories. The paper introduces an innovative technique for determining sample size, incorporating additional data on success rates unique to strata (Aslam, 2023). This paper primarily focuses on Cochran's Q statisradius in Cochrane by Cochran, applied to test homogeneity in experiments with radius s. Cochran is defined as Q = $\Sigma wi(\theta i - \theta w)^2$, where θi is The impact statistic used ith research, θw is the calculated mean of effect analysts, and ωi is the inverse of the variance estimator. Inverse variance weights emphasize larger, more accurate studies in the weighted mean and O statistic. Cochran initially investigated this statistic for normally distributed sample means, and Woolf applied it to assess the homogeneity of logarithms of odds ratios in 1955(Kulinskaya and Dollinger, 2015). The variability of likelihood ratios (LRs) among subgroups within a study is a classic concern akin to between-study homogeneity in a systematic review. Cochran's Q model offers a straightforward method to assess this heterogeneity. It calculates an adjusted mean of affects in the whole citizens, to determine if stratum-specific impacts substantially deviate from the common impact. In this context, we explain how Q test may efficiently discover substantial differences in LRs across clusters of people inside an investigation. (Cohen et al., 2015). For managing binary responses in this context—that is minimal answers examined multiple times using the identical sample— Cochran's Q-test (CQT) is a typical minimalist technique. Another method involves measuring two or more similar qualitative variables from the same sample (usually at equal times). The two or more qualitative variables' distributions are compared using the CQT in both cases. An expansion of McNemar's test that allows for the handling of more variables is the CQT, a statistic based on data comparing four distinct medication kinds on 60 patients separated into blocks of four by fifteen. They discovered that the CQT had greater power than their adjusted 2 statistic, the CQT was used in this study to evaluate Pollution of the soil of the soil-transmitted helminth ova at sixteen separate recreational parks located in Abuja, Nigeria (I. J. Davidl and M. U. Adehi,2021).

Test Statistic

The Cochran's Q test statistic is calculated for ternary responses, Yi, j in k paired sets of N persons as.

$$Q = \frac{(K-1) - (KC - T^2)}{KT - R}$$

Where

$$C = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i,j} \right)^{2}$$

$$T = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} Yi, j \right)$$

$$R = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{K} Yi, j \right)^{2}$$

The test statistic, Q, has K - 1 levels of independence and are split as a chisquare for "big" samples. Similar to the McNemar test, the overall Q-statistic only includes respondents whose responses in any category differ from one another.

For this test, the p-value is calculated as:

$$P-Value = Pr\left(Q > X_{1-a,k-1}^{2}\right)$$

where $X_{1-a,k-1}^2$ is the measurement of the (1-a) range of the chi-square split with k-1 degrees of level.

Review

In 2007 (Keneth J. Berry, Janis e. Johnston, and Paul W. Mielke,

pt.) published an article about an alternative measure of effect size for Cochran's Q test for related proportions. Assessments of effect size are becoming significant in the field of psychology. In this work, a chance-corrected estimate of effect size is offered for Cochran's Q test for correlated percentages. The bipolar variable has various uses in psychological research and evaluation. Generally, a value of one is provided for every question that lets a subject respond well, while a value of zero is granted to any incorrect reply. A typical example is when volunteers are placed into an experimental situation, observed as to whether or not some certain response is created, and graded appropriately (Lunney, 1970). Cochran's Q test for related percentages (1950) is commonly used in psychological research to determine whether more than three matched groups of percentages differ with others. The matching may be based on elements of the numerous topics or related subjects with varying settings. For two matched sets of proportions, Cochran's Q test reduces to McNemar's test of

change for two correlated sets (in 1947) (Kenneth J. Berry, Janis E. Johnston, and Paul W. Mielke, jr,2007).

In 2008 (Brad J. Biggerstaff and Dan Jackson) this article has determined the precise the range of Cochran's Q coefficient and have illustrated how this could be exploited about every one of its various purposes in a systematic review. The hypothesis that was produced is being demonstrated in both real-world and more possible situations: in especially, the distribution of samples delivers insights into the reliability of the typical test for an existence of variability and the distributions of samples of demonstrating the effects of this, and it may also be utilized to provide estimation intervals for t2. The technique is therefore of interest to those who apply standard meta-analysis procedures, regardless of their choice for the different applications of Q. The exact distributional result for Q and the related discoveries extend naturally to ordinary meta-regression under the normal model, by a simple change to the matrix formalism utilized here, and this may form the focus of future investigation. The presence and impact of heterogeneity in the standard oneway random effects model in the meta-analysis are typically explored using the Q statistic provided to Cochran. We establish the exact distribution of this statistic under the assumptions of the random effects model, and also propose two moment-based approaches and an approximate saddle point estimate for Q. The strategy is presented by analyzing a recent simulation work concerning the heterogeneity metrics and applying all the recommended techniques to four published meta-analyses (Brad J. Biggerstaff and Dan Jackson, 2008).

In 2010 (Tiago V. Pereira and Nikolaos A. Patsopoulos) This work provides a thorough analysis of the interpretation of Cochran's Q test in the context of meta-analyses. It specifically highlights the impact of power and pre-existing assumptions on heterogeneity. By examining 1011 meta-analyses of clinical trials with binary outcomes, the research attention on the importance of the Q test in detecting differences across studies (T*2) and the significance of previous perspectives on heterogeneity. The reference to Bayes theorem highlights the common occurrence of limited ability to identify regular variability, since non-significant Q tests typically do not change established

ideas, but strong discoveries substantially increase the probability of variability. (Percira and Nikolaos A. Patsopoulos, 2010).

In 2014 (Jeremie F. Cohen Martin Chalumeau and Robert Cohen) published a paper about Cochran's Q test was useful for assessing heterogeneity in likelihood ratios in studies of diagnostic accuracy, Research experiments have indicated that diagnosis precision often exhibits substantial variation across subgroups of patients within a study. They proposed to utilize Cochran's Q test to examine heterogeneity in sign likelihood ratios (LRs). They revisited published information from six papers that indicated within-study variation in the diagnostic precision, they utilized the Q test to examine heterogeneity in LRs and compared the findings of the Q test with those obtained using another approach for divided evaluation of LRs, determined by minority confidence intervals, they also analyzed the actions of the Q test using fictitious data. The Q test identified considerable variability in LRs in all six sample data sets. The Q test found considerable variability in LRs more often than the confidence interval method (38% vs. 20%). When applied to hypothetical data, the Q test would be able to identify very tiny fluctuations in LRs, of around a twice rise, in research containing 300 individuals. (Jeremie F. Coben Martin and Chalumeau, 2015).

In 2015 (Elena Kulinskaya and Michael B Dollinger) published an article about the study investigating the distribution of Cochran's Q when analyzing log odds ratios between two arms in individual studies. It reveals that the distribution of Q deviates from a chi-square distribution, particularly when binomial probabilities in the arms are far from 0.5. The convergence to the correct chi-square distribution is slow with increasing study sizes. Formulas for estimating moments suggest a gamma distribution provides a good fit. The Qy test, derived from this distribution, competes well with the Breslow-Day test for homogeneity. However, in routine testing, the simpler Breslow-Day test is recommended. In sparse data situations, where the Breslow-Day test struggles, Qy remains well-defined and is recommended. The study emphasizes the impact of the effect of interest on the non-asymptotic distribution of Q, with potential

improvements in estimators for small heterogeneity values being a subject for future research (Elena Kulinskaya and Michael B Dollinger, 2015).

In 2017 (thierry fahmy and arnaud belletoile) published a paper about Algorithm 983: Fast Computation of the Non-Asymptotic Cochran's Q Statistic for Heterogeneity Detection We have proposed a rapid execution of Cochran's accurate Q test for heterogeneity identification. According to the absence of the sample and the number of individuals, our approach also works well for several treatments as big as 20. It substantially widens the list of applications for which the precise form of Cochran's Q test may be used which was previously confined to relatively tiny data. Our consumers may thus profit from the correct p-value even for reasonably large data sets while not surpassing a few minutes of processing time. In terms of calculation time, our technique has been demonstrated to outperform by many orders of magnitude (up to 106 times quicker) a commercially available solution that serves as a reference in the domain. After a quick discussion of Cochran's Q test and the reason for its precise version, we describe our technique and demonstrate how it is applied (Fahmy and Bellétoile, 2017).

In 2018 (Donald Stephen and Shaheen Ahmad Zaidi Adruce) study covered how to take advantage of Cochran's Q test and paired McNemar test to analyze the percentage of replies generated from the findings of Multiple replies Analysis (MRA). This incorporates Cochran's Q procedure on MRA tables of data using a simulated data set. Cochran's Q test discovers whether there is a variation in the percentage of numerous ideas. In the context of an important finding, it would need a post hoc analysis to determine the particular variation in pairwise ratios. This study provides a guide for educators and professionals who need to investigate the percentage of collectively exhaustive notions acquired from a multiple replies question. In the future, studies should study numerous methodologies and perform a comparison analysis to discover the best-suited way in a different context of usage (Donald Stephen and Shaheen Ahmad Zaidi Adruce, 2018).

In 2021 (I. J. David 1 and M. U. Adehi) this study evaluated the incidence of geohelminth eggs in 184 samples of soil of 16 playgrounds in Abuja city, Nigeria. The Cochran's Q(CQT) test was employed to assess if there

had been significant variation in the ratios of the examined egg kinds in the soil samples, and it was discovered which of the egg kinds had considerably bigger ratios using the minimum required difference (MRD) approach. It was revealed that these geohelminth yolks exist in considerably varying amounts in the 184 samples of soil studied. Finally, Taenia and Coccoeidia eggs are very prevalent, with percentages substantially bigger than the ratios of each of the other geohelminth eggs in the analyzed soil samples, depending upon the MRD mean comparative test (I. J. David1 and M. U. Adehi,2021).

In 2022 (Lee Mason and Maria Otero) The research examines Cochran's Q Test use in measuring stimulus over-selectivity within the verbal repertoire of children with ASD. It underlines the relevance of applying statistical tools, notably Cochran's Q test, for quantitatively analyzing stimuli under selection. The findings of the test have therapeutic relevance in tailoring behavior-analytic therapies. Significant discrepancies in the spoken repertory imply the need for enhanced therapy, and those with greater Cochran's Q values may need more intense care. The report argues that Cochran's Q test may be used as a continuous tool to track developmental changes over time and analyze the effect of different treatments in single-subject study designs. Additionally, Cochran's Q is presented for assessing over-selectivity in behavioral data and showing constrained stimulus control over selection-based responses. The test's potential applicability in researching derivational stimulus control is also highlighted, especially in examining differences within percentages of distinct dependent populations, such as reflexive, symmetric, and transitive relations. Overall, Cochran's Q test is given as a beneficial tool for analyzing and individualizing treatments in the language behavior of persons with Autism (Mason et al., 2022).

In 2022 (G.Kumar and E.J. LalithKumar) published a paper about the application of Cochran's Q-test for evaluating the performance of an educational program in such instances we may apply Cochran's Q-test for the full study of the procedure. For such an analysis, one value of the attribute is recorded with a "1" and the other with a "0". Cochran (1950) created a test for

variations whereby be designated "1" for success and "0" for failure. The test process is termed Cochran's Q-test. The test is a dichotomous counter-component of the Friedman Test. A review of the data we have noted that there exists a considerable difference in the viewpoint of the research scholars in respect of the success of the conduct of the seminar. The editor of this study would like to remark that Cochran's Q-test has been effectively applied in numerous fields viz, behavioral sciences, business, educational, and social sciences for evaluating the importance of differences in numerical data (Kumar and LalithKumar).

In 2023 (Muhammad Aslam) published a paper about the suggested Cochran's Q test increases decision-making in uncertain settings by adding the degree of indeterminacy. It gives a thorough framework, offering insights into the test statistic's range and accompanying uncertainty. Simulation research indicated that increasing uncertainty lowered the test statistic's range, reducing power and error rates. This underlines the necessity of recognizing ambiguity in decision-making. The Cochran's Q test is beneficial in scenarios with ambiguous data, allowing decision-makers a manced knowledge and aiding educated choices. However, its conclusions are sensitive to the degree of indeterminacy and are relevant primarily to data containing imprecise, fuzzy, or interval observations. Further study may examine further statistical features of this suggested test (Muhammad, 2023).

Discussion

We have obtained the precise probability distribution of Cochran's Q statistics and shown its applicability to many aspects of meta-analysis. The theory that has been formulated has been validated in both practical and theoretical scenarios. Specifically, the distribution offers valuable insights into the effectiveness of the standard test for detecting heterogeneity and the sampling distributions of measures related to its impact. Additionally, it can be utilised to establish confidence intervals for t². The approach is therefore relevant to all individuals who use conventional meta-analysis methodologies, regardless of their inclination towards the many applications of Q. The precise distributional

outcome for Q and its corresponding outcomes may be easily applied to ordinary meta-regression using the normal model. This can be achieved by making a simple adjustment to the matrix formulation used in this study. Further exploration of this topic may be the focus of future research (Brad J. Biggerstaff and Dan Jackson, 2008). In the context of the Bayes theorem, we have shown how the understanding of the Q test findings is influenced by power and previous assumptions. This method may be readily used to compute the likelihood of the presence of statistical heterogeneity, represented by a particular value of t2, in a given meta-analysis. Furthermore, it may enhance comprehension of the outcomes of heterogeneity testing and their alterations under different assumptions. Testing the power of the Q statistic for certain values of t2, might be a practical way to address the constraints of this test. Although we provided empirical data from binary outcomes and clinical trials, the calculations for ongoing results and other kinds of research remain unchanged. Inferences are contingent upon preexisting ideas that must be meticulously justified within the context of each particular subject. Investigators with varying previous assumptions will draw different findings about the existence of heterogeneity based on identical evidence. The proposed method may also assess the influence of various assumptions on the between-study variance of interest when assessing the power of the Q test and the likelihood of heterogeneity. These assumptions are contingent upon the subject matter, the extent of the observed treatment effects, and the convictions of individual researchers. Our methodology provides a method to assess the influence of these assumptions on the variety of viewpoints. It may explain statistically why heterogeneity-testing and its interpretation is typically such a disputed topic with scientists differing on the existence and consequences of heterogeneous in meta-analyses (Pereira and Nikolaos A. Patsopoulos, 2010).

Conclusion

We reviewed several publications on Major Analysis and experimental element analysis in this report. The Cochran's Q test is valuable in handling ambiguous data, providing decision-makers with nuanced insights, and supporting informed choices. Nevertheless, its conclusions depend on the degree of indeterminacy and are most applicable to data characterized by imprecision, fuzziness, or interval observations, utilized Cochran's Q test to examine heterogeneity in sign likelihood ratios (LRs). We reviewed previously published data. Of six papers that indicated within-study variation in the diagnostic precision, utilized the Q test to examine heterogeneity in LRs and compared the findings of the Q test with those obtained using another approach for divided evaluation of LRs, determined by minority confidence intervals. Also analyzed the actions of the Q test using fictitious data. The Q test identified considerable variability in LRs in all six sample data sets, study covers how to take advantage of Cochran's Q test and paired McNemar test to analyze the percentage of replies generated from the findings of Multiple replies Analysis (MRA). This incorporates Cochran's Q procedure on MRA tables of data using a simulated data set. Cochran's Q test discovers whether there is a variation in the percentage of numerous ideas. In the context of an important finding, it would need a post hoc analysis to determine the particular variation in pairwise ratios. In the examination of 1011 meta-analyses of clinical trials with binary findings, we underline that the interpretation of the Q-test rests on its capacity to identify between-study variance and current ideas on heterogeneity. Q test offers nothing to modify conventional beliefs on heterogeneity, but strong results considerably raise the chance of its occurrence. This underlines the necessity for careful interpretation of statistical heterogeneity in meta-analyses, including both the capacity to identify individual variances and previous assumptions about heterogeneity. Given the extensive usage of the Q test in multiple meta-analyses, the suggested technique may give significant information to analysts and readers. However, it is crucial to realize several limitations, such as the specificity of the posterior probability to a single outcome and the absence of consideration for findings of the Q test on other

outcomes within the same studies, since heterogeneity may vary across various outcomes.

References

- ASLAM, M. 2023. Cochrun's Q test for analyzing categorical data under uncertainty. Journal of Big Data, 10, 147.
- BERRY, K. J., JOHNSTON, J. E. & MIELKE JR, P. W. 2007. An alternative measure of effect size for Cochran's Q test for related proportions. *Perceptual and motor skills*, 104, 1236-1242.
- BIGGERSTAFF, B. J. & JACKSON, D. 2008. The exact distribution of Cochran's heterogeneity statistic in one-way random effects meta-analysis. Statistics in medicine, 27, 6093-6110.
- COHEN, J. F., CHALUMEAU, M., COHEN, R., KOREVAAR, D. A., KHOSHNOOD, B. & BOSSUYT, P. M. 2015. Cochran's Q test was useful to assess heterogeneity in likelihood ratios in studies of diagnostic accuracy. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 68, 299-306.
- DAVID, I., ADEHI, M. & IKWUOCHE, P. 2021. Cochran's Q-Test on Soil Helminth Prevalence. Biometrical Letters, 58, 169-185.
- FAHMY, T. & BELLÉTOILE, A. 2017. Algorithm 983: Fast Computation of the Non-Asymptotic Cochran's Q Statistic for Heterogeneity Detection. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS), 44, 1-12.
- KULINSKAYA, E. & DOLLINGER, M. B. 2015. An accurate test for homogeneity of odds ratios based on Cochran's Q-statistic. BMC medical research methodology. 15, 1-19.
- KUMAR, G. & LALITHKUMAR, E. APPLICATION OF COCHRAN'S Q-TEST FOR EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF AN EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM.
- MASON, L., OTERO, M. & ANDREWS, A. 2022. Cochran's Q test of stimulus overselectivity within the verbal repertoire of children with autism. Perspectives on behavior science, 1-21.
- PEREIRA, T. V., PATSOPOULOS, N. A., SALANTI, G. & IOANNIDIS, J. P. 2010. Critical interpretation of Cochran's Q test depends on power and prior assumptions about heterogeneity. Research Synthesis Methods, 1, 149-161.
- STEPHEN, D. & SAZ, A. 2018. Cochran's Q with pairwise McNemar for dichotomous multiple responses data: A practical approach. Int J Eng. Technol. 7, 4-6.

STAT.Mahammad.Mahmoud@MSc.2024.Non Parametric Statistics

ORIGIN	ALITY REPORT				
3 SIMILA	4% ARITY INDEX	19% INTERNET SOURCES	32% PUBLICATIONS	2% STUDENT PA	PERS
PRIMAR	RY SOURCES				
1	researc Internet Soul	hinformation.an	nsterdamumc	org	5%
2	distribu statistic	Biggerstaff, Dan tion of Cochran' in one-way rands", Statistics in M	s heterogenei dom effects m	ty	4%
3	journal d Internet Sour	ofbigdata.spring	eropen.com		4%
4	Georgia interpre power a	Pereira, Nikolad Salanti, John P. etation of Cochra and prior assum Jeneity", Researd	A. Ioannidis. ' an's Q test de _l ptions about	'Critical pends on	3%
5	WWW.re	searchgate.net			3%

6	KENNETH J. BERRY. "AN ALTERNATIVE MEASURE OF EFFECT SIZE FOR COCHRAN'S Q TEST FOR RELATED PROPORTIONS", Perceptual and Motor Skills, 2007 Publication	3%
7	Thierry Fahmy, Arnaud Bellétoile. "Algorithm 983", ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, 2017 Publication	2%
8	I. J. David, M. U. Adehi, P. O. Ikwuoche. "Cochran's Q-Test on Soil Helminth Prevalence", Biometrical Letters, 2021 Publication	2%
9	onlinelibrary.wiley.com Internet Source	2%
10	Elena Kulinskaya, Michael B Dollinger. "An accurate test for homogeneity of odds ratios based on Cochran's Q-statistic", BMC Medical Research Methodology, 2015 Publication	2%
11	Simon Voelker, Sebastian Hueber, Christian Corsten, Christian Remy. "HeadReach: Using Head Tracking to Increase Reachability on Mobile Touch Devices", Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2020 Publication	1 %

12	link.springer.com Internet Source	1 %
13	wikimili.com Internet Source	1 %
14	deepai.org Internet Source	<1%
15	Submitted to Navitas Professional Institute Pty Ltd Student Paper	<1%
16	netlib.org Internet Source	<1%
17	journals.sagepub.com Internet Source	<1%
18	Elena Kulinskaya, Michael B. Dollinger, Kirsten Bjørkestøl. "Testing for Homogeneity in Meta-Analysis I. The One-Parameter Case: Standardized Mean Difference", Biometrics, 2011 Publication	<1%
19	Salkind. Encyclopedia of Measurement and Statistics Publication	<1%
20	export.arxiv.org Internet Source	<1%
21	www.sciencepubco.com Internet Source	



Julian P. T. Higgins, Simon G. Thompson. "Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis", Statistics in Medicine, 2002

<1%

23

Lee Mason, Maria Otero, Alonzo Andrews. "Cochran's Q Test of Stimulus Overselectivity within the Verbal Repertoire of Children with Autism", Perspectives on Behavior Science, 2021

<1%

Publication

Exclude quotes

Exclude bibliography

Off

Exclude matches

Off