PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Impact of Adding Chitosan and Probiotic to Broiler Dietary on Productive Performance

To cite this article: A O Hassan et al 2023 IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci. 1252 012114

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- Dynamics of cortisol in calves against the background of the use of polymethylsiloxane polyhydrate as an antistress drug
- L V Kletikova, M S Mannova and N N Yakimenko
- Increased Immune Response of Carp (Cyprinus carpio L) by Giving Garlic (A. sativum) powder extract W Isroni, A S Bahri and N Maulida
- <u>Carbon storage in the built environment: a review</u>
 Stavroula Bjånesøy, Antti Kinnunen, Hulda Einarsdóttir et al.



Impact of Adding Chitosan and Probiotic to Broiler Dietary on Productive Performance

A O Hassan¹, Q H A Al- Jabari¹, and N A Mustafa^{2*}

¹Department of Animal Production, College of Agriculture, University of Kirkuk, Kirkuk, Iraq

²Department of Animal production, College of Agriculture, University of Salah Aldeen, Erbil, Iraq

Corresponding author's e-mail: dr_qanaameen@uokirkuk.edu.iq

Abstract: This study was conducted at animal production farms at Kosar Agricultural Research Company in Arbil from July 20, 2022, to August 24, 2022 (35 days). This study aims to examine the impact of adding chitosan and probiotics to the diets of broilers on their productive performance. A total of 144 unsexed broiler chicks from Ross 308 were used in this study. The chicks were of average weight (43 g) at one-day old. These chicks were divided into six treatments with three replicates (8 per treatment). The first treatment was without any addition (control treatment); the second treatment was adding 1 g/kg of probiotic; the third treatment was adding 0.5 g/kg of chitosan; the fourth treatment was adding 1 g/kg of chitosan; the fifth treatment was adding 0.5 g/kg of chitosan plus 1 g/kg of probiotic; and the sixth treatment was adding 1 g/kg of chitosan plus 1 g/kg of probiotic. The foundation of this study was that there was a significantly increasing (P< 0.05) in the live body weight for T5, and a significantly increasing (P< 0.05) in the average of weight gain for T1. The average feed consumption for T2 and T3 improved significantly (P< 0.05), and the average FCR for T2 and T3 improved significantly (P< 0.05). According to the findings of this study, adding chitosan and probiotics to the diets of broilers improved their productivity.

Keywords: chitosan, probiotic, broiler, productive performance

1. Introduction

Poultry products are one of the most important protein sources because they are easily digestible, high in essential nutrients, and high in minerals [1]. In comparison to other animal products, poultry has been raised on a large commercial scale, and meat production is higher [2]. This interesting aspect of poultry farming coincides with the use of multiple feed additives in order to increase production, stimulate growth, and protect against infection with microorganisms and bacteria [3]. Chitosan, for example, is a non-food additive in poultry diets that is not harmful to animal or human health; it is a multi-unit form of glucosamine; and it makes up the majority of the external skeleton of marine organisms like shrimp and crabs [4,5]. Chitosan positively affects broilers' productivity performance, and it acts as an antibacterial and antifungal agent, improves the health of the small intestine, and improves digestion and absorption [5, 6]. Probiotics are the other and most popular food additive alternative to antibiotics [7, 8]. Probiotics are microorganisms; they must be one of the types of intestinal bacteria found in the intestines of poultry [8]. Probiotics provide nutrients, aid in digestion, and constrain harmful bacteria [9]. Some reports showed that many benefits could be gained by adding chitosan and probiotics to the diets of broilers. [10] The addition of chitosan to the diet of broilers resulted in an increase in FCR. In another study, Ayman et al. [11] found that adding chitosan to the diets of broilers showed a significantly (P 0.05) higher rate of live body weight and weight gain. In

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1252 (2023) 012114

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1252/1/012114

addition, Hussien and Selim [12] indicated that the addition of probiotics positively affected the growth performance of broilers.

2 .Materials and Methods

2.1. Chicks and diet

144 Ross 308 unsexed broiler chicks Kosar hatcheries provided an average weight of 43 grams at one day of age. The chicks were randomly assigned to six treatment groups in three replicates (8 per group). The first treatment included no additives (control treatment), the second included 1 g/kg of probiotic, the third included 0.5 g/kg of chitosan, the fourth included 1 g/kg of chitosan, the fifth included 0.5 g/kg of chitosan plus 1 g/kg of probiotic, and the sixth included 1 g/kg of chitosan plus 1 g/kg of probiotic. Chicks were raised in individual wire cages for 35 days on a floor that was covered with sawdust 3–5 cm thick. The fodder (in the form of powder) was provided to the chicks, and feed and water were provided for ad libitum consumption.2-2 Performance: Live body weight (g) = The total weight of birds (in the replicate) at the end of the week / the bird's number (in the replicate) at the end of the week. Average body weight (g) = Average of body weight at the end of the week-an average of body weight at the beginning of the week The feed consumption (g) = The amount of consumed feed at the end of the birds at the same period Mortality percentage = The number of dead birds/ The number of birds at the end of the week. These performances were calculated according to the method of [13].

All procedures involving animal care and management were in accordance with and approved by the Committee of Scientific Research Ethics at the University of Anbar, Ramadi, Iraq.

Table 1. Diets used in the experiment and the calculated chemical composition (kg).

-	1		· · ·
Components Finisher	Starter diet (1-11 day)	Growth diet (12-21 day)	diet (22-42 day)
Wheat	377.25	327.25	588.85
Bran	100	100	100
Soya bean meal	320	272	165
Yellow Maize	150	200	100
Vegetarian Oil	10	16	10
Premix	10	8	-
Methionine	1.3	0.25	1
Lysine	1	1.2	2
Choline	1	1	0.5
Threonine	0.5	1.2	0.8
Enzyme	-	0.5	0.5
Anti-coccidiosis	1	0.5	0.25
Toxbond fort	-	1	1
Genex	-	-	0.5
Limestone	18.25	17	-
Calcium	8	-	-
Salt	1.6	-	-
Between finisher	-	-	7
Crude protein	22%	21%	20%
M.E.	3000kcal/kg	3100 kcal/kg	3175Kcal/kg
Energy: protein	1:15000	1:14761	1: 15875

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Live body weight

We note frome table (2) that there are no significant differences between the treatments of second and fourth week in the average of live body weight. However, we notice significant differences (P < 0.05)

IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1252 (2023) 012114

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1252/1/012114

in the first week between T1 and T2 only, without significant differences with the rest of treatments. In the third week we notice that the treatment T2 was significantly differences (P< 0.05) superior with T1 and

T6. In the fifth week we notice that the treatment T5 significantly differences (P< 0.05) superior with T1, T4 and T6. This study agrees with [4].

3.2. Weight gain

We note from table (3) that there are no significant differences between the treatments of second and fourth week in the rate of weight gain. However, we notice significant differences (P< 0.05) in the first week between treatment T1 and T2 only, without significant differences with the rest of treatments. In the third week we notice that the treatment T2 was significantly differences (P< 0.05) superior to treatment T6 only. However, we notice that the treatment T5 significant differences (P< 0.05) superior to all teratments in the fifth week. Recording the total weight gain (1–35) days we notice that T4 significant differences (P< 0.05) superior to T1 and T6, without differences with other treatments, this study agrees with [11].

3.3. Feed consumption

There were no significant differences (P< 0.05) among treatments during the first week. However, at the second week, the T2 significantly outperformed the T3, but there was no difference between the other treatments. In addition, by the third week, there were significant differences (P< 0.05) between T2 and T3 over T1. There were no significant differences (P< 0.05) among the different treatments during the fourth and fifth weeks. During the cumulative periods (1-35) days, there was a significant superiority (P< 0.05) for T2 and T3 over T4. This result agrees with [14].

3.4. Feed conversion ratio

The addition of Chitosan and probiotics to broiler diets resulted in a significant improvement in FCR (g feed/g weight gain); there was no significant improvement during the first, second, or fourth weeks, but there was a significant improvement (P< 0.05) during the third week for T3 over T1, T5 and T6. During the fifth week, there was a significant improvement (P< 0.05) in the FCR for T4 over all treatments. According to the total FCR (1–35 days), there was significantly more improvement (P< 0.05) for T4 over all of the treatments. This result agrees with [10].

3.5. Mortality percentages

There was no mortality during the experience. The improvement that appeared in the productive performance of broilers in this study in the treatments that contain the probiotic and the treatments that contain chitosan with or without the probiotic may be due to the significant effect on increasing the utilization of nitrogen and amino acids in the feed [4]. In addition, chitosan affects the nature of the intestinal flora, as it reduces harmful microorganisms and increases beneficial ones [10]. This improvement leads to a rise in villi and, thus, an increase in the efficiency of the absorption of important nutrients. In addition, chitosan increases the viscosity of the nutrients present in the gastrointestinal tract, which works to delay the passage of nutrients from the gastrointestinal tract, allows for increased absorption of nutrients, and thus works on improving productive qualities and performance. [15, 16]. In addition to this, the probiotic plays an effective role in achieving the balance of microorganisms in the intestinal tract and creating an acidic environment that inhibits harmful microorganisms through the production of volatile fatty acids. In addition, the probiotic activates beneficial bacteria and thus elongates the villi of the intestine, which leads to the expansion of the circle of digestion and absorption, and this is reflected positively in the average body weight as well as weight gain. [17, 10]. According to mortality, no dead birds were recorded during the experiment, and this may be due to the immune-enhancing substance, as it stimulates the immune reaction by binding IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science

1252 (2023) 012114

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1252/1/012114

to a special receptor on the membrane of phagocytes or lymphocytes, and it may have an effect on IgS immunoglobulins that work to protect the body against diseased organisms, and this works to stabilize the health status of birds [18].

Table 2. Effect of adding Chitosan and probiotic to the diet of broiler on average live body weight.

Treatments						
	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	T6
weeks						
1 st	4.40±263.3	1.66±274.16	2.20±265.83	0.83±266.66	3.60±270.83	4.40±296.16
_	b	a	ab	ab	ab	a
2^{nd}	12.21±686.	13.64 ± 693.33	12.27±684.16	16.91 ± 688.33	10.13 ± 689.16	7.63 ± 687.5
	a	a	a	a	a	a
3 rd	18.98±985.	30.04 ± 1109.1	5.45±1066.66	19.16 ± 1078.3	17.24 ± 1053.7	10.45 ± 11.81
	41 c	6 a	ab	3 ab	5 ab	b.
4 th	42.58±1698	30.62 ± 1784.1	28.03±1759.1	28.12±1771.2	39.90±1784.3	22.40±1710
	a	a	a	a	a	a
5 th	37.52 ± 2112	59.91±2322.5	51.28±2266.6	37.20 ± 2245.8	63.25 ± 2417.0	28.91±2238.3
	c	ab	abc	bc	a	bc

Different letters vertically indicate the existence of significantly differences between the average at the probability of (P < 0.05)

Table 3. Effect of adding Chitosan and probiotic to the diet of broiler on average body weight gain (g).

Treatments weeks	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Т5	Т6
1 st	0.40 ± 220.33	1.66±231.16	2.20±222.83	0.83±223.66	3.63±327.83	4.40±226.16
1	b	a	ab	ab	ab	ab
2^{nd}	9.04 ± 418.75	12.01 ± 419.1	11.66 ± 418.33	16.09±421.66	12.44 ± 418.33	4.40±418.33 a
1	a	a	a	a	a	
3 rd	29.45 ± 403.33	18.87 ± 415.8	12.33±382.5	3.81±390 ab	12.33±364.58	4.33±357.5 b
	ab	a	ab		ab	
4^{th}	27.14±672.9a	29.82 ± 675	22.68 ± 692.5	25.12±692.91	53.06±694.58	11.45±665 a
		a	a	a	a	
5 th	5.06±414.16	31.03 ± 538.3	22.76±507.5	35.65 ± 645.83	10.00±497.5 b	28.66 ± 528.33
	c	b	b	a		b
(1-35) d	18.20±2016.	20.14 ± 2279 .	19.27±2223.6	25.36±2374.08	19.34±2222.83	16.20 ± 2204.33
	c	ab	ab	a	ab	c

Different letters vertically indicate the existence of significantly differences between the average at the probability of (P < 0.05)

Table 4. Effect of adding Chitosan and probiotic to the diet of broiler on feed consumption rate (g).

Treatments weeks	T1	T2	Т3	T4	Т5	Т6
1 st	3.26±147.83	2.60±148.75	0.58±148.66	0.72±148.91	1.06±149.37	2.72±146.62
	a	a	a	a	a	a
2^{nd}	1.25 ± 66.04	2.42±369.91	8.29 ± 335.58	12.63 ± 343.1	12.71±351.6	10.00 ± 350
	ab	a	b	ab	ab	ab
3 rd	18.43 ± 571.1	2.42 ± 628.45	5.13 ± 619.62	12.85±611.9	12.77 ± 605.4	17.83 ± 593.1
	b	a	a	ab	ab	ab
4 th	21.29 ± 865.8	10.80 ± 880.8	7.19 ± 879.37	16.60 ± 872.7	24.82 ± 847.0	20.27±842.9
	a	a	a	a	a	a
5 th	11.34 ± 1120	8.48 ± 1138.9	5.97±1132.9	13.41±1130.	8.96±1125.7	7.60±1112.5
	a	a	a	a	a	a
(1-35) d	20.22 ± 3070 .	18.23±3166.	21.06±3116.	24.63 ± 3107 .	20.09 ± 3079 .	17.45±3045.

ICCMAT-2023 IOP Publishing

IOP	Conf	Series:	Earth	and	Environmental	Science

1252 (2023) 012114

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1252/1/012114

ab	a	a	ab	ab	b

Different letters vertically indicate the existence of significantly differences between the average at the probability of (P < 0.05)

Table 5. Effect of adding Chitosan and probiotic to the diet of broiler on feed conversion ratio (g feed / g weight gain).

Treatments	T1	T2	Т3	T4	T5	Т6
weeks 1 st	0.02+0.67-	0.01+0.64-	0.005+0.66-	0.005+0.66-	0.005+0.65-	0.00(+0.64-
1	$0.02\pm0.67a$	$0.01\pm0.64a$	$0.005\pm0.66a$	$0.005\pm0.66a$	$0.005\pm0.65a$	$0.006\pm0.64a$
2^{nd}	$0.02\pm0.86a$	$0.01\pm0.88a$	$0.003\pm0.8a$	$0.003\pm0.81a$	$0.006\pm0.84a$	$0.01\pm0.83a$
$3^{\rm rd}$	$0.04\pm1.62a$	$0.06 \pm 1.51ab$	0.08 ± 1.41	$0.02\pm1.56a$	$0.02\pm1.65a$	$0.02\pm1.65a$
4 th	$0.02\pm1.28a$	$0.04\pm1.3a$	0.03 ± 1.26	0.04 ± 1.25	0.06 ± 1.21	0.01 ± 1.26
5 th	$0.05\pm2.23b$	$0.10\pm2.12b$	$0.08\pm2.26b$	$0.07\pm1.75c$	$0.04\pm2.69a$	$0.10\pm2.1b$
(1-35) d	$0.07\pm1.52a$	$0.04\pm1.38b$	$0.03\pm1.40b$	$0.03\pm1.30c$	$0.07\pm1.39b$	$0.07 \pm 1.38b$

Different letters vertically indicate the existence of significantly differences between the average at the probability of (P < 0.05).

4. Conclusion

The addition of chitosan and probiotics to the diets of broilers caused a significantly (P< 0.05) favorable effect. This effect is demonstrated by increased productivity. In my opinion T4 achieved the best result.

References

- [1] Windhorst H W. 2006. Changes in poultry production and trade worldwide. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, **62**: 585-602.
- [2] Al-Bazy F I, Abdulateef S M, and Sulimn B F. 2022. Impact of feeds containing optifeed®, vêo® premium, and oleobiotec® on the lipid peroxidation of male broilers under heat stress. *Journal of Life Science and Applied Research*, **3**:25-31.
- [3] Kareem B S, and Al-Dalawi R H. 2022. Effect of adding L., Carnitine with herbal methionine and sunflower seed oil on the biochemical characteristics of broiler's blood. *Journal of Kirkuk University for Agricultural Sciences*, **13**(4).
- [4] Jasim H H, and Nafea H H. 2021. Effect of chitosan and antibiotic adding to corn-soybean diet on the productive performance of broiler chickens. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, **48**:10-14.
- [5] Friedman M, and Juneja V K. 2010. Review of antimicrobial and antioxidative activities of chitosans in food. *Journal of Food Protection*, **73**:1737-1761.
- [6] Singla A K, and Chawla M. 2001. Chitosan: Some pharmaceutical and biological aspects-an update. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology*, **53**:1047-1067.
- [7] Al-Salihy S A, and Hussaini M I A N A. 2020. Effect of different levels of boswellia plant extract in drinking water (Photovoltaic Catalyst) and the bio-probiotic in the diet on the growth characteristics, physical characteristics and blood biochemistry of quail bird. *Journal of Kirkuk University for Agricultural Sciences*, **11**(3).
- [8] Lutful Kabir S M. 2009. The role of probiotics in the poultry industry. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **10**:3531-3546.
- [9] Al-Sakr S N A, and AL-Neemi M I A. 2022. The effect of adding levels of the, amino acid threonine (Thr) in the low protein diets upon the carcass traits, Some physiological and bio

1252 (2023) 012114

doi:10.1088/1755-1315/1252/1/012114

- chemical parameters of the broiler blood. *Journal of Kirkuk University for Agricultural Sciences*, **13**(4).
- [10] Nuengjamnong C, and Angkanaporn K. 2018. Efficacy of dietary chitosan on growth performance, haematological parameters and gut function in broilers. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, **17**:428-435.
- [11] Ayman U, Akter L, Islam R, Bhakta S, Rahman M A, Islam M R, and Haque Z. 2022. Dietary chitosan oligosaccharides improves health status in broilers for safe poultry meat production. *Annals of Agricultural Sciences*, **67**:90-98.
- [12] Hussein E, and Selim S. 2018. Efficacy of yeast and multi-strain probiotic alone or in combination on growth performance, carcass traits, blood biochemical constituents, and meat quality of broiler chickens. *Livestock Science*, **216**:153-159.
- [13] Gondwe T N P, and Wollny C B A. 2005. Evaluation of the growth potential of local chickens in Malawi. International Journal of Poultry Science.
- [14] AL Hodan Y B I, 2022. Effect of adding chitosan or prebiotic to deed on productive and physiological parameters of broilers. M.Sc. Thesis. College of Agriculture, University of Tikrit: 73 pp.
- [15] Yuan L, Li W, Huo Q, Du C, Wang Z, Yi B, and Wang M. 2018. Effects of xylooligosaccharide and flavomycin on the immune function of broiler chickens. *Peer Journal*, **6**: e4435.
- [16] Qiu K, Wang X, Zhang H, Wang J, Qi G, and Wu S. 2022. Dietary supplementation of a new probiotic compound improves the growth performance and health of broilers by altering the composition of cecal microflora. *Biology*, **11**:633.
- [17] AL-Khaldani C A S. 2022. The effect of adding moringa oleifera leaf powder with or without a probiotic commercial on the production characteristics and some blood of broilers Ross 308. M.Sc. Thesis. College of Agriculture, University of Kirkuk: 73 pp.
- [18] Taha A T, and Allaw A A. 2016. Effect of Chitosan Supplementation to drinking water in productive performance, physiological and micro flora traits of broiler. Kufa Journal for Veterinary Medical Sciences, 7(1B).