

For rubrics tick with only one (X) were appropriate. Higher means better
 For comments, type as a paragraph or in points were appropriate.

Paper ID (PID)

216

Title of paper

Java Programming Language Time Permanence: Comparison Review with other languages

1. Relevance: Is the paper relevant to the conference (please check the [topics](#) on the website)

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 (best) |
|---|---|----------|---|----------|
| | | X | | |

2. The abstract has well identified aims, and clearly written?

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 (best) |
|---|---|----------|---|----------|
| | | X | | |

3. The research methodology, figures and table, are appropriate and supports the research conducted?

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 (best) |
|---|---|---|----------|----------|
| | | | X | |

4. The results of analysis are correctly interpreted and reasonable conclusion obtained?

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 (best) |
|---|---|---|----------|----------|
| | | | X | |

5. Overall English language/grammar?

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 (best) |
|---|----------|---|---|----------|
| | X | | | |

Overall score from 25 =
 (sum of points above)

16

Please note that the minimum score to consider the paper (**Accepted**) is 13 / 25

ICACS24

Reviewer Form

For rubrics tick with only one (X) were appropriate. Higher means better
For comments, type as a paragraph or in points were appropriate.

Reviewer's comments (if any) or (rejection reason).


You may include your comments in the paper's MS-Word file (**highlights** or **comments**) and resend the file with this form.

- This article needs a significant linguistic review.
- The font family and size should be unified, paragraphs and statements should start with capital letters.
- In the abstract it doesn't refer to any superiorities in the chosen programming languages, or findings.
- There are a lot of typos.
- In table 1, the second iteration needs justification and reasoning, which is not been done.
- The results should have explanations
- There is no contribution in this research, it could be received as a report for higher education studies but not as a research article.
- The experiments are very straightforward and simple, the algorithms are not advanced, and the results are not that significant.

Decision (Put X)

| Reject (with reason in the comments above) | Accept with comments if any |
|--|-----------------------------------|
| X | |

NOTE: You may also send the reviewed manuscript with embedded comments to send to the author(s).



Reviewer's Name / Date

Dr. Omed Saleem Khalind
Department of Software and Informatics Engineering
Salahaddin University