

Rational numbers and Real numbers

Rashad Rashid

Salahaddin University-Erbil

May 31, 2018

Archimedes Principle

Theorem

(Archimedes Principle) If a and b are real numbers and $a > 0$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $na > b$.

Archimedes Principle

Theorem

(Archimedes Principle) If a and b are real numbers and $a > 0$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $na > b$.



Proof.

Let $S = \{ka : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then S is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . Assume that the theorem is not true. Then b become an upper bound of S . Since \mathbb{R} is complete, so S has a supremum in \mathbb{R} . Let $\sup(S) = y$.

Archimedes Principle

Theorem

(Archimedes Principle) If a and b are real numbers and $a > 0$, then there is $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $na > b$.



Proof.

Let $S = \{ka : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then S is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{R} . Assume that the theorem is not true. Then b become an upper bound of S . Since \mathbb{R} is complete, so S has a supremum in \mathbb{R} . Let $\sup(S) = y$.

- Notice that $y - a < y$, so $y - a$ can not be upper bound for S . Thus, there is $ma \in S$ such that $y - a < ma$. Then $ma + a > y$, so $(m + 1)a > y$. But $(m + 1)a \in S$ which contradicts $\sup(S) = y$. □

Archimedes Principle

- For every positive real number ϵ , there is a positive integer n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$.

Archimedes Principle

- For every positive real number ϵ , there is a positive integer n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$.

Proof.

Put $b = 1$ and $a = \epsilon$ in the above theorem. □

Archimedes Principle

- For every positive real number ϵ , there is a positive integer n such that $\frac{1}{n} < \epsilon$.

Proof.

Put $b = 1$ and $a = \epsilon$ in the above theorem. □



Theorem

(The density of Rational numbers) Between any two distinct real numbers there is a rational number.



S -continuity and L^1 -integrability on *finite sets

Proof.

-
- Between any two distinct real numbers there is an infinite countable set of rational numbers.

S -continuity and L^1 -integrability on $^* \text{finite sets}$

Proof.

-
- Between any two distinct real numbers there is an infinite countable set of rational numbers.

S -continuity and L^1 -integrability on *finite sets

Proof.

S -continuity and L^1 -integrability on * finite sets

Proof.

••

- Between any two distinct real numbers there is an infinite countable set of rational numbers.

S-continuity and L^1 -integrability on * finite sets

Proof.

••

- Between any two distinct real numbers there is an infinite countable set of rational numbers.

Density of Rational numbers

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Then by Theorem of rational density, there is a rational r_1 between a and b , and there is a rational r_2 between r_1 and b . In general there is a rational r_n between r_{n-1} and b . Thus we get the set $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, \dots\}$ which is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . \square

Density of Rational numbers

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Then by Theorem of rational density, there is a rational r_1 between a and b , and there is a rational r_2 between r_1 and b . In general there is a rational r_n between r_{n-1} and b . Thus we get the set $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, \dots\}$ which is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . \square

Theorem

Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$, where \mathbb{Q}^c is the set of all irrational numbers. Then:

- (1) $r + s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$.
- (2) $r \cdot s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$, provided that $r \neq 0$.

Density of Rational numbers

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Then by Theorem of rational density, there is a rational r_1 between a and b , and there is a rational r_2 between r_1 and b . In general there is a rational r_n between r_{n-1} and b . Thus we get the set $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, \dots\}$ which is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . \square

Theorem

Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$, where \mathbb{Q}^c is the set of all irrational numbers. Then:

- (1) $r + s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$.
- (2) $r \cdot s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$, provided that $r \neq 0$.

Density of Rational numbers

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Then by Theorem of rational density, there is a rational r_1 between a and b , and there is a rational r_2 between r_1 and b . In general there is a rational r_n between r_{n-1} and b . Thus we get the set $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, \dots\}$ which is a subset of \mathbb{Q} . \square

Theorem

Let $r \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$, where \mathbb{Q}^c is the set of all irrational numbers. Then:

- (1) $r + s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$.
- (2) $r \cdot s \in \mathbb{Q}^c$, provided that $r \neq 0$.

Density of Irrational numbers

Theorem

(The density of Irrational numbers) Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.

Density of Irrational numbers

Theorem

(The density of Irrational numbers) Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.



Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. assume that there is no irrational number between a and b . This means that all numbers between a and b are rational numbers. By adding $\sqrt{2}$ with a, b and all numbers between them, we obtain all numbers between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ are irrational numbers. That is, there is no rational number between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ which is contradiction with Remark 6. Hence, there is an irrational number between a and b . □

Density of Irrational numbers

Theorem

(The density of Irrational numbers) Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.



Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. assume that there is no irrational number between a and b . This means that all numbers between a and b are rational numbers. By adding $\sqrt{2}$ with a, b and all numbers between them, we obtain all numbers between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ are irrational numbers. That is, there is no rational number between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ which is contradiction with Remark 6. Hence, there is an irrational number between a and b . □

Density of Irrational numbers

Theorem

(The density of Irrational numbers) Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.



Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. assume that there is no irrational number between a and b . This means that all numbers between a and b are rational numbers. By adding $\sqrt{2}$ with a, b and all numbers between them, we obtain all numbers between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ are irrational numbers. That is, there is no rational number between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ which is contradiction with Remark 6. Hence, there is an irrational number between a and b . □

Density of Irrational numbers

Theorem

(The density of Irrational numbers) Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.



Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. assume that there is no irrational number between a and b . This means that all numbers between a and b are rational numbers. By adding $\sqrt{2}$ with a, b and all numbers between them, we obtain all numbers between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ are irrational numbers. That is, there is no rational number between $a + \sqrt{2}$ and $b + \sqrt{2}$ which is contradiction with Remark 6. Hence, there is an irrational number between a and b . □

The density of Irrational numbers

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Then by Theorem 7, there is an irrational s_1 between a and b , and there is an irrational s_2 between s_1 and b . In general there is a rational s_n between s_{n-1} and b . Thus we get the set $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, \dots\}$ which is a subset of \mathbb{Q}^c . \square

(The density of Irrational numbers) Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R} . If $x < y$ for all $x \in A$ and for all $y \in B$, then prove that $\sup(A) \leq \inf(B)$. Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.

The density of Irrational numbers

Proof.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a < b$. Then by Theorem 7, there is an irrational s_1 between a and b , and there is an irrational s_2 between s_1 and b . In general there is a rational s_n between s_{n-1} and b . Thus we get the set $\{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n, \dots\}$ which is a subset of \mathbb{Q}^c . \square

(The density of Irrational numbers) Let A and B be two nonempty subsets of \mathbb{R} . If $x < y$ for all $x \in A$ and for all B , then prove that $\sup(A) \leq \inf(B)$ Between any two distinct real numbers there is an irrational number.

The relation between $L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $L^1(\Omega)$

Proof: Assume that $h \in L^1(\Omega)$, where $\Omega = \{\lfloor -\frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 1, \lfloor -\frac{N}{2} \rfloor + 2, \dots, 0, \dots, \lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor\}$ and $N > \mathbb{N}$. Then h is S-integrable and almost S-continuous internal function on Ω . So, there is a rare subset E of Ω such that h is S-continuous on $\Omega - E$. Let $B = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : h(\lfloor \frac{Nt}{2\pi} \rfloor) \notin {}^*\mathbb{C}_{fin}\}$. Since $\int_{\Omega} |h| dm$ is limited and h is almost S-continuous on Ω , then B is a measurable subset of \mathbb{T} and it has a Lebesgue zero via Loeb Theorem ($B \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ is Lebesgue measurable if and only if $\forall \epsilon > 0$ in \mathbb{R} there are *finite sets A and C such that $A \subseteq st^{-1}B \subseteq C$ and $\frac{\text{card}(C-A)}{N} < \epsilon$.) In this case $st(\frac{\text{card}A}{N}) = st(\frac{\text{card}C}{N})$ and this is the Loeb measure of B .

Bounded and Monotone sequences

Now we define

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \text{st}(h(\lfloor Nt2\pi \rfloor)) & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T} - B, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in B. \end{cases}$$

From the definition of f , we deduce that $|f(t)|$ is limited for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$. So, $\int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t)| dt$ is limited. That is, $\|f\|_1 = \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(t)| dt$ is finite.

Cauchy sequences

Moreover, since h is almost S -continuous on Ω , then there exists a rare subset E of Ω such that h is S -continuous on $\Omega - E$. let $a \in \omega - E$ (a nonstandard model of $\mathbb{T} - B$). Then for all $t \in \omega - E$, if $t \approx a$, then $h(t) \approx h(a)$ is true in $\Omega - E$. given $\epsilon > 0$ arbitrary and standard, without loss of generality, let $\epsilon = 1/n$, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We have to find $\delta > 0$ of the form $1/k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall t (|t - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |h(t) - h(a)| < \frac{1}{n})$$

is true in $\Omega - E$.

Complete sets

So, for all unlimited $k \in {}^*\mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\forall t (|t - a| < 1/k \Rightarrow |h(t) - h(a)| < \frac{1}{n})$$

is true in $\Omega - E$. Then

$$\forall t (|t - a| < 1/k \Rightarrow |\text{st}(h(t)) - \text{st}(h(a))| < \frac{1}{n})$$

is true in $\mathbb{T} - B$. So,

$$\forall t (|t - a| < 1/k \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(a)| < \frac{1}{n})$$

is true in $\mathbb{T} - B$.

Convergent sequences and Cauchy sequences

Now, let

$$\theta(k) = (k \in {}^*\mathbb{N}) \wedge (k = 0 \vee \neg \forall h (|t - a| < 1/k \Rightarrow |f(t) - f(a)| < \frac{1}{n})).$$

if we couldn't find $\delta = 1/k$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then θ would define \mathbb{N} in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. Which is contradiction with \mathbb{N} is not definable (not internal) in ${}^*\mathbb{R}$. Therefore, f is a continuous function in on $\mathbb{T} - B$. Hence, f is continuous almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} . Since \mathbb{T} is a Lebesgue measurable set, so f is a measurable function on \mathbb{T} . Hence, $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ and $f(t) = \text{st}(h(\lfloor \frac{Nt}{2\pi} \rfloor))$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} .