

Antinutrients in ruminant feeds

The final project report is submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of bachelors at Department of Animal Resources - College of Agricultural Engineering Sciences

By

Zainab Omer Ali

Under Supervision: Dr. Suzan Muhamad Nur Muhamad

2023-2024

Chapter		Page
1	Introduction	1
2	Review	2
2_1	Classification Of Antinutrients	2-3
3	Roles Of Antinutrients In Ruminant (Plant Secondary Metabolites)	3
3_1	Advantages	3
3_2	Disadvantages	4
4	Some Types Of Anti-Nutrients	5-6
5	Approaches To Control Antinutrient Effects	7
6	Conclusion	8
7	References	8-9

TABLE OF CONTENT

1. Introduction

The current challenges in Ruminant production are the development of strategies to reduce the cost of feeding, improve the quality of Products as well as mitigate the negative impact of Production on the environment; the use of plant-based Feeds may subsidize feeding costs and eco-friendly Influence. However, as good as plant-based feeds are, the presence of antinutrients impaired their full Utilization by animals, and this deficiency is a great Concern of many researchers as well as livestock Industries globally (Yacout, 2016).

Silage, hay, and Green fodder contain vital nutrients, but the presence of some toxic materials or incriminating factors reduce their full utilization (Ramteke et al., 2019). Some antinutrients also affect animal performance. Phytoestrogen or lignin components of sunflower have been reported to have negative effects on the Reproductive qualities of ewes (Alharthi et al., 2021). These toxic materials (antinutrients) are also referred to as allelochemicals (Kumar, 1992).

Recently, the deleterious effects of antinutrients on the gut Microbiota of Homo sapiens and animals have started Gaining momentum. Antinutrients are chemical constituents in feeds that, by themselves or through metabolic Products produced in the system, inhibit feed use, reduce animal performance, or negatively Impact animal health (Ramteke et al. 2019). ANFs are chemical substances produced naturally in plants by the normal metabolism of plant species or by various mechanisms such As metabolic utilization of feed, as a result, they are feed additives that inhibit animal growth and performance and exert an effect contrary to optimal nutrition (Akande et al., 2010).

Anti-nutrients are secondary metabolite Substances evolved by plants for their protection from defoliation by insects and animals (Habtamu & Negussie, 2014).Several reviews have been based on general Antinutrients as well as general strategies to reduce Their harmful effects in ruminant feeding, to the best of our knowledge, highly perilous anti-nutrients, as well As their effects on the digestive system, reproductive System, and gut microbiota of ruminants, have not been Properly documented (Olarinre et al. 2022).

Therefore, the objective of this review is to elucidate some toxic dangerous Antinutrients, specific economic treatment strategies, their effects on nutrient utilization, digestive and reproductive performance as well as the well-being of the gut microbiota of ruminants.

1

2. Review

Antinutritional elements in feeds have an impact on the welfare of the animals as well as their ability to be fully utilized. Consequently, depending on how they affect feed utilization and the ensuing repercussions on animals (Isiaka et al, 2022).

2-1-Classification of Antinutrients

Four types of antinutritional factors are recognized:

- A. Factors influencing the digestion of carbohydrates Examples include the phenolic compound and amylase inhibitors.
- B. Elements like phytase that influence the usage of minerals
- C. Ingredients including tannins, saponins, and protease inhibitors that have an impact on how well proteins are absorbed and utilized.
- D. Elements like antigenic proteins that activate the immune system and have the potential to trigger a harmful hypersensitive reaction (Huisman and Tolman, 1992).

Classification basid on Chemical Property:-

Antinutrients have been categorized recently based on their chemical structures or properties and how they affect the consumption of nutrients.

- Proteins in Group A a. Haemagglutinins, or lectins; b. Protease inhibitors;
- Glycosides in Group B goitrogens, cyanogen, and saponins;
- Group C: gossypol, tannins, and phenols
- Group D: Other: a. Anti-vitamins b. Anti-Metals (Ramteke et al. (2019).

Classification based on nutrients utilization

Group A: Chemicals that hinder the metabolism of proteins:

- Saponins
- Haemagglutinins

• Inhibitor of proteases

Group B: Pry or tumbling solubility substances In the process of using minerals:

- Phytate
- Oxalate
- Gossypol

Group C: Chemicals that raise specific vitamin demands:

- Anti-vitamins (fat-soluble vitamins), including nicotinic acid, M, B6, and B12.
- Water-soluble vitamins, or anti-vitamins, including A. K.E. D. (Isiaka et al 2022).

3-Roles of antinutrients in ruminant (plant secondary metabolites)

3-1-Advantages

Plant secondary metabolites are natural products that are synthesized by secondary metabolism. Basically, they are substances manufactured by plants to make them competitive in their own environment.

Their main roles include the following:

- 1- Defence against herbivores (insects and vertebrates),
- 2- Defence against fungi and bacteria,
- 3- Defence against viruses
- 4- Defence against other plants competing for light, water and nutrients
- 5- Protection against the damaging effect of U-V light
- 6- Signal compounds to attract pollinating and seed-dispersing animal
- 7- Signals for communication between plants and symbiotic microorganisms
- 8- They may play nutritional roles during germination of seeds (Iyakutye et al, 2023).

9-they have benefits for animal health, including anti-diarrhea, anti-bacterial, anti-oxidant, free-radical, and ant-proliferative activity in liver cells. Furthermore, it can provide protein protection during ensilage (J Dairy Vet Animal Res., 2016)

3-2- Disadvantages

Plants have evolved defense mechanisms to fend against predators in the wild, among these include the creation of secondary metabolites, which, in contrast to primary metabolites, are not directly involved in the organisms' growth activities but serve as a warning to potential predators. A subset of these metabolites, referred to as anti-nutritional factors, have an impact on the nutritional content of feedstuffs and forages, which in turn affects the animals including humans that consume them. Although they are usually not fatal, they may have unfavorable impacts on feed consumption, animal health, and productivity, which make them undesirable for both human and animal nutrition. Age determines their extensive dispersion in plants.cultivar, geographical dispersion, and post-harvest storage conditions (Isiaka et al, 2022).

They are present in practically all plants and plant products used as animal feedstuffs at some degree due to a variety of traits they have and other factors. Glycosides, alkaloids, protease inhibitors, amylase inhibitors, phenolic compounds, phytohaemagglutinins, phytates, terpenes/triterpenes, non-protein amino acids, oxalates, and glucosesinolates are the main categories of anti-nutritional factors. These metabolites' unique chemical structures and compositions, which vary in their abundance in various plants and their products, have a range of direct and indirect effects on animal productivity and health. Plants contain them in different amounts, with higher plants having the highest concentration of alkaloids. Among the most significant medications utilized by Humans are considered to be the most hazardous and beneficial creations of nature. These anti-nutrients can cause physiological problems like disruption of metabolic processes and production of critical biochemical components of tissues, or they can cause physical symptoms like baldness. Here is a review of their structures, occurrences, impacts, and other relevant topics (Isiaka et al, 2022).

Unlike the nutrition of humans, the harmful effects of antinutrients in ruminant nutrition have not been thoroughly studied. Reduced feed intake, a low feed conversion rate, and even death are among the negative consequences (Epafras and reas, 2019). The performance of animals is impacted by the subsequent impacts of several antinutrients. If fed in excess, phytoestrogens or the lignin components of sunflower hulls have been shown to negatively impact ewes' ability to reproduce (Alharthi et al., 2021). This means that it is necessary to determine whether antinutrients are concentration-dependent.

According to reports, lignans and phytoestrogens can also cause infertility in humans (Popova and Mihaylova, 2019).

4- Some types of anti-nutrients

Mimosine The mechanism of action of mimosine is not clear, but it may act as an amino acid or make a disruption of the catalytic, trans-aminases, or may complex with metal such as Zinc. To come over to the mimosine problem when feeding Leucaena, is to restrict to 30% of the green forage with cattle and buffalo, and 50% for goats(Yacout, 2016).

Saponins are a heterogeneous group of naturally occurring foam producing steroidal glycosides that occur in a wide range of plants, including oilseeds such as bean, lentil, pea, chickpea, alfalfa, soybean, groundnut and sunflower (Yacout, 2016)

They reduce the uptake of certain nutrients including glucose and cholesterol in the gut through intralumenal physicochemical interaction. Hence, they have been reported to have hypocholesterolemic effects. Meantime, they have distinctive foaming characteristics with white clover and alfalfa; they can cause bloat, hemolysis and inhibit microbial fermentation and synthesis in rumen. However, it has varied biological effects due to structural differences in their sapogenin fraction (Yacout, 2016).

Tannins are water soluble phenolic compounds; they are the most common type found in forage legumes, trees and shrubs. They have the ability to precipitate proteins from aqueous solution. Tree and shrub leaves contain the two different groups (hydrolysable & condensed Tannins (CT). Tannins have more effect in reducing digestibility than hydrolysable tannins (Yacout, 2016).

However, the mechanism effect of tannins came from their ability to form strong H bonds with nutrients resulted in inhibitions of digestive enzymes and rumen microbial activity, and their effect can increased with the increase of the tannins molecular significantly. Concentrations of 2-4% of DM increase N utilization due to increased bypass, Concentrations >7% usually reduce nutrient utilization (Yacout, 2016).

Tannins are present in the NDF and ADF of the tree leaves, which are bound to the cell wall & cell protein and can resulted in decreasing digestibility, they also cause decreased

5

palatability, feed intake, reduced growth rate or loss in weight, poor utilization and decrease iron absorption (Yacout, 2016).

Alkaloids cause gastrointestinal and neurological disorders. The glycol alkaloids; solanine and chaconine present in potato and Solanum spp. are toxic to fungi and humans. Some plant alkaloids are reported to cause infertility (Yacout, 2016).

Anti vitamin factors there are some anti-vitamin factors in some plants, especially leguminous plants. Anti-vitamin E has also been noted in isolated soya protein, which is suspected to be tocopherol oxidase (Yacout, 2016).

Anti-metals Phytates bind minerals like calcium, iron, magnesium and zinc and make them unavailable.18 Anemia and other mineral deficiency disorders are common in regions where the diet is primarily a vegetarian (Yacout, 2016).

Oxalate is considered an anti-nutrient because it inhibits calcium absorption and can increase the risk of developing kidney stones (Akande et al, 2010).

Goitrogen is a natural or synthetic organic compound that interferes with the efficiency of the thyroid gland by preventing follicular cells of the thyroid gland to take iodine from the blood. The goitrogenic substances are found in some feeds such as cassava, sweet potato, and millet. The effects of goitrogens have been combated with the help of iodine supplementation rather than heat treatment (liener, 1975).

Lectins are defined as proteins that bind to carbohydrates (glycol protein) over 500 lectins are produced by plants primarily as a defense mechanism against molds, fungi, insects, and diseases (Mishra et al, 2019)

5-Approaches to Control Antinutrient Effects

Various techniques have been employed to mitigate or eliminate the deleterious impacts of distinct anti-nutritional elements present in animal diets. Hay-making, silage-making with inoculants, urea, polyethylene glycol (PEG), and biological treatment with fungus are some of the techniques. Although PEG's ability to efficiently eliminate a wide range of antinutrients is well-established, most of the time its use is not cost-effective (Ramteke et al., 2019). One practical way to mitigate the harmful effects of tannins is to give animals 1% urea.

It has also been proposed that pelleting and grinding are effective methods for enhancing the nutritional value of animal diets (Alharthi et al., 2021).Human nutrition contains the majority of ways for mitigating or minimizing the harmful effects of antinutritional variables. The techniques might aid in raising the caliber of feed for ruminants. According to (Popova and Mihaylova, 2019 and Samtiya et al. 2020), common techniques include grinding, soaking, fermentation, sprouting, germination, gamma radiation, and genomic technologies.

The most conventional method of separating the grains from the bran layer is milling. This process involves grinding grains into a powder or flour and has been shown to be effective in getting rid of the lectins, tannin, and phytic acid that are found in grain bran (Samtiya et al., 2020). The drawback of this method is that it loses a number of minerals in the milling process (Gupta et al., 2015). A method of soakingaway from the grinding process (Gupta et al., 2015). One physical treatment technique for eliminating water-soluble antinutrients is soaking. Typically, purified water, 1% NaHCO3, and mixed salt solutions are used for soaking. According to reports, soaking with those combinations decreased the amounts of phenols and phytates by 33% and 21%, respectively (Devi et al., 2018). Soaking decreased the amount of total proteins, soluble sugars, and tannins in soybean flour. According to reports, soaking is one of the fantastic techniques for eliminating or deactivating enzyme inhibitors; nevertheless, this approach has little effect on lectin (shi et al., 2017).

6- Conclusion

The most frequent anti-nutritive components in plant materials include tannins, saponins, phytate, oxalate, and protease inhibitors. These substances cause toxicity and adverse health effects in animals when they are present in high concentrations. They also decrease the digestibility of proteins and the absorption of minerals. Previous research has shown that anti-nutritive factors negatively correlate with micronutrient bioavailability because higher anti-nutrient levels reduce nutrient availability. Therefore, the majority of the toxic and anti-nutritional factor effects of these complexes in animal feed can be eliminated by a number of processing techniques, including fermentation, soaking and germination, heat toasting, sun drying, and chemical treatment. More research is necessary to develop methods for eliminating heat-stable (condensed tannins, gossypol, saponins, and other anti-nutritive factors present in various animal feeds) without compromising the feed's nutritional value.

7- REFERENCES

- Akande, K.E., Doma, J.D., Agu, H.O., Adamu, H.M. (2010). Major antinutrients found in plant Protein sources: their effect on nutrition. Pakistan journal of nutrition. 9 (8):827-932.
- Alharthi, A.S., Alobre, M.M., Abdelrahman, M.M. Al-Baadani, H.H., Swelum, A.A., Khan R.U., Alhidary, I.A.,(2021). The effects of different levels of sunflower hulls on reproductive performance of yearly ewes fed with pelleted complete diets. Agriculture 11, 959
- Devi, R., Chaudhary, C., Jain, V., Saxena, A.K. Chawla, S., 2018. Effect of soaking on anti-Nutritional factors in the sun-dried seeds of hybrid Pigeon pea to enhance their nutrients Bioavailability. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry 7, 675-680.
- Epafras, Perm _ identity, reas, 2019. Understanding anti-nutritional factors in animal Feed. Farm4Trade. URL https://f4t- Suite.farm4trade.com/understanding-anti-Nutritional-factors-inanimal-feeds/ (accessed 11.29.21).
- F Kemboi, JO Ondiek, AM King'ori and PA Onjoro.(2023) Department of Animal Science, Egerton University, P.O Box 536-20116 Egerton, Kenya

- Gupta, RK, Gangoliya, S.S., Singh, N.K, 2015. Reduction of phytic acid and enhancement of Bioavailable micronutrients in food grains. Journal of food science and technology 52, 676—684.
- Habtamu, G.F., Negussie R. (2014). Anti-nutritional factors in Plant foods: potential health benefits and adverse effects. Global advances research journal of food science and technology. 3(4):103-117.
- Huisman, J., Tolman, G.H., 1992. Antinutritional Factors in the plant proteins of diets for non-Ruminants. Recent advances in animal nutrition 68, 101-110.
- Isiaka O. Olarinre, Mohammed A. Al-Badwi and Mutassim M. Abdelrahman.(2022)Antinutrients in Ruminant Feeds A review.
- 10) Iyakutye Jacob Ntel, Onyema Joseph Owenl,(2023) Anti-Nutritional factors in animal feedstuffs: a review
- 11) Kumar, R. (1992). Anti-nutritional factors, the potential risks of toxicity and methods to alleviate them. Legume trees and other fodder trees as Protein source for livestock. FAO Animal production and health paper 102, 145–160.
- 12) Olarinre, I, O., Al-Badwi, M. A. and Abdelrahman, M.M. (2022). Antinutrients in ruminant feeds: A review. Researcher .14(4):1-8] ISSN 1553-9865 (print); ISSN 2163-8950
- Popova, A., Mihaylova, D., 2019. Antinutrients in Plant-based foods: A review. The Open Biotechnology Journal 13.
- 14) Ramteke, R., Doneria, R., Gendley, M., 2019a. Antinutritional Factors in Feed and Fodder used For Livestock and Poultry Feeding 10.
- 15) Ramteke, R., Doneria, R., Gendley, M.K., 2019. Antinutritional factors in feed and fodder used for Livestock and poultry feeding. Acta Scientific Nutritional Health 3, 39—48.
- 16) Samtiya, M., Aluko, R.E., Dhewa, T., 2020. Plant Food anti-nutritional factors and their reduction Strategies: An overview. Food Production, Processing and Nutrition 2, 1—14.
- 17) Shi L., Mu, K., Arntfield, S.D., Nickerson, M.T., 2017. Changes in levels of enzyme inhibitors during soaking and cooking for pulses available in Canada. Journal of food science and technology 54, 1014-1022.
- 18) Yacout, M.H.M. (2016). Anti-nutritional factors & its roles in animal nutrition. J Dairy Vet Anim Res. 4(1):237–239.